EDUCATION APPLICATION RATING FORM

Reviewer’s Identification Number: _______ (no name please)

Date:

Principal Investigator(s):

Proposal Number:

Application Title:

Section A. Summary of Ratings:

Please complete the questions below and then fill in this summary.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Statement</th>
<th>Circled Number</th>
<th>Weighting Factor</th>
<th>Subrating</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Objectives</td>
<td>____ X</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Achievability</td>
<td>____ X</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Methodology</td>
<td>____ X</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Contribution</td>
<td>____ X</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Awareness</td>
<td>____ X</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Background</td>
<td>____ X</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Project Management</td>
<td>____ X</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Media &amp; Materials</td>
<td>____ X</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. Facilities</td>
<td>____ X</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. Budget</td>
<td>____ X</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Total: 50</strong></td>
<td><strong>250 points possible</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: While points are necessary to establish an overall rating, comments on the various criteria are critical to truly understanding the value of a proposed project. Please elaborate in the comment sections to the maximum extent possible.

Overall Recommendation: ________ Fund

__________ Funding May Be Considered

__________ Do Not Fund
Section B. Ratings and Comments:

Please circle your response to each statement and transfer the number circled to the column entitled “Circled Number” on the first page of this form. Also, please comment on each criteria.

1. The objectives or goals of the proposed project with respect to clarity and consistency with North Dakota Industrial Commission/Oil and Gas Research Council goals are: 1 – very unclear; 2 – unclear; 3 – clear; 4 – very clear; or 5 – exceptionally clear.

Please comment:

2. With the approach suggested and time and budget available, the objectives are: 1 – not achievable; 2 – possibly achievable; 3 – likely achievable; 4 – most likely achievable; or 5 – certainly achievable.

Please comment:
3. The quality of the methodology displayed in the proposal is: 1 – well below average; 2 – below average; 3 – average; 4 – above average; or 5 – well above average.

Please comment:

4. The educational contribution of the proposed work to specifically address North Dakota Industrial Commission/Oil and Gas Research Council goals will likely be: 1 – extremely small; 2 – small; 3 – significant; 4 – very significant; or 5 – extremely significant.

Please comment:
5. The principal investigator’s awareness of other current educational efforts being conducted by other persons or entities related to the proposal is: 1 – very limited; 2 – limited; 3 – adequate; 4 – better than average; or 5 – exceptional.

Please comment:

6. The background of the investigator(s) as related to the proposed work is: 1 – very limited; 2 – limited; 3 – adequate; 4 – better than average; or 5 – exceptional.

Please comment:
7. The project management plan, including a well-defined milestone chart, schedule, financial plan, and plan for communications among the parties involved in the project is: 1 – very inadequate; 2 – inadequate; 3 – adequate; 4 – very good; or 5 – exceptionally good.

Please comment:

8. The proposed materials and media to be developed or used are: 1 – very inadequate; 2 – inadequate; 3 – adequate; 4 – very good; or 5 – exceptionally good.

Please comment:
9. The materials and media available and to be purchased for the proposed educational effort are: 1 – very inadequate; 2 – inadequate; 3 – adequate; 4 – notably good; or 5 – exceptionally good.

Please comment:

10. The proposed budget value relative to the outlined work and the financial commitment from other sources is of: 1 – very low value; 2 – low value; 3 – average value; 4 – high value; or 5 – very high value. (See below)

Please comment:

Financial commitment from other sources – A minimum of 50% of the total project must come from other sources to meet the program guidelines. Support less than 50% from Industrial Commission sources should be evaluated as favorable to the application.
Section C. Overall Comments and Recommendations:

Please comment in a general way about the merits and flaws of the proposed project and make a recommendation whether or not to fund.

General comments: