Governor Dalrymple called the Industrial Commission meeting to order at 11:00 a.m. in the Fort Totten Room and the Commission took up Department of Mineral Resources business.

Governor Dalrymple called on Kari Cutting to introduce Mr. Dennis Sutton of Turner, Mason & Company.

Ms. Kari Cutting, ND Petroleum Council, thanked the Commission for the opportunity to present and discuss the Bakken Quality & Safety Initiative Study. She said in February the Petroleum Council commissioned a study by Turner, Mason & Company which is a nationally known engineering consulting firm to delineate Bakken crude oil characteristics. After several months of sampling, analyses and comparative research, the Turner, Mason report was released on August 4. She introduced Mr. Dennis Sutton to provide a summary of the Study. She indicated that Mr. Sutton is a consultant with Turner, Mason and has over thirty years of analytical experience in crude oil quality and serves as Executive Director of the United States Crude Oil Quality Association and is a board member on the Canadian Crude Oil Quality Technical Association. She said Mr. Jeff Hume with Continental Resources, Mr. Steve McNally with Hess and Mr. Dick Vanderbusch with ONEOK representing the producers, pipelines, gas gathering and gas processing for the industry were available to answer any questions the Commission might have regarding the impact of the Turner, Mason recommendations.
Mr. Dennis Sutton presented the Turner, Mason & Company and SGS Laboratories Bakken Crude Quality Assurance Study as follows: (The entire report is available in the Commission files.) The following is a PowerPoint presentation summarizing the report.
Operating Data

- To develop best practices, key operating conditions were recorded during sampling
  - Ambient temperatures
  - Separator and treater temps and pressures
  - Production rates/last movements out of tank
  - Tank heights
  - Vapor capture status

Other Recent Related Work

- AFPM Survey of Bakken Crude Oil Characteristics - published May 14, 2014
  - A compilation of available data from a variety of sources
- Bakken Light Ends Brief - presentation by Randy Segato, Suncor to the Crude Oil Quality Association, February 2014
- Transportation Safety Board of Canada Laboratory Report LP 148/2013
- DOT PHMSA reports issued July 23, 2014 including Operation Safe Delivery Update

NDPC Report vs. PHMSA Report

- Both reports agree Bakken is not a flammable gas, corrosive liquid, or toxic material.
- Both reports agree well on the vapor pressure and light ends content.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>NDPC Report</th>
<th>PHMSA Report</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vapor/Pressure, psi</td>
<td>11.5</td>
<td>12.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Light Ends (C2-C4g, vol %)</td>
<td>4.95</td>
<td>4.65</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: For comparison, working gas density can be up to an EVP of 15 and 132°F C4.

- The PHMSA report states it is more volatile than most other types of crude but provides no supporting evidence for this claim.
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Well Locations

Rail Locations

The results are very consistent throughout the entire region for both well and rail samples!
In response to a question regarding if they are testing in the car after treatment or before treatment, Mr. Sutton said these are samples from the tanks at the well facility and at the rail facility where the cars are being loaded. Mr. Hume clarified that the samples were after the crude oil had gone through the field treating equipment.

In response to a question Mr. Sutton explained the differences between the Packing Group 1, 2 and 3. He stated that if you look at the hazardous material regulations, they break things down into classes and then packing groups. Those regulations determine how the material is packaged, labeled and shipped and that will cover everything from a sample sent by UPS or Federal Express to what would be transported by rail cars and trucks. A question that has come up with Bakken Crude is whether it is a Packing Group 1 or Packing Group 2 material. It hinges on this one test that everyone in the industry is finding is not a perfect test for determining this parameter at this particular level. The cutoff point is 95° and all of the samples analyzed are right around that point and the variability is such that one reputable lab might get lower than that and on the exact same sample another lab might get higher than that.
In response to a question regarding which is safer and which more dangerous, Mr. Sutton said Packing Group 1 is the most conservative – that would be the group for handling the most hazardous materials.

In response to a question Mr. Sutton said when you are referring to light crude the industry generally has established that as API gravity of 35 and above. Very heavy crude oils would be in the low 20’s and intermediate crude oils would be in the high 20s/low 30s.

In a response to a question regarding the borderline classification between Packing Group 1 and 2 if there is a specific trait that is tilting it one way or the other, Mr. Sutton said it is this particular test for the initial boiling point of the material. The boiling point is significant because the initial boiling point, where that first drop of material boils, is indicative of how much of the light boiling compounds are present in the crude. So the lower initial boiling point would be indicative of more lighter material being in the crude oil. Crude oil is this wide boiling mixture from things as light as ethane, propane and butane all the way out to the heaviest most viscous molecules that get made into asphalt.

In response to a question regarding if there is a comparison of boiling points between Bakken and other light sweet crudes, Mr. Sutton said there really is not and the reason is that kind of data is not available. You can get into what’s the composition of those light boiling compounds and that is why some additional testing was done.

Ms. Cutting added that the 49 CFR allows crude oil to be characterized as Packing Group 1, 2 or 3 so all crude oils are allowed to be moved by rail. Packing Group 1 and 2 are allowed to move in the same rail cars. Packing Group 1, there is a distinction on the type of trucks that are required for Packing Group 1 and that is part of the reason that Turner, Mason recommended just calling all this material Packing Group 1 until either API or PHMSA come out with recommendations on a better testing methodology because that is really the problem here. Material going to one lab would come back with a Packing Group 2 designation and if the same material went to a different lab it could come back with a Packing Group 1 designation – so the real fuzzy area is the analytical test to determine if it is Packing Group 1 or 2.

In response to a question Ms. Cutting stated that when it comes to rail cars it is the same car whether it is Packing Group 1 or 2.

In response to a question regarding the 95° temperature, Mr. Sutton said that is a different test, the vapor pressure. It is a piece of equipment that has been used for decades for measuring vapor pressure of finished gasoline, for example, because you have regulations there. You introduce a small sample to the instrument and it measures the pressure exerted by the vapor above the liquid in the sample. It is two different tests but the way the regulations are spelled out, it utilizes data from both of those tests for determining the Packing Group.

In response to a question regarding boiling point and vaporization, Mr. Hume said that is exactly what they are trying to determine--at what point do the lighter molecules, if there are trace amounts of ethane or propane or butane still in the crude oil – when do they come off, how much
is there – the initial boiling point is when the first light molecule vaporizes. That is what they are looking for in that test. Then the vapor pressure is more quantitative, if you will, how much light material is in that. It’s a very fine cut there, it is not a function of flammability, it is a function of when does it turn from a liquid to a gas. What they did on the analyses – we see what our percentages show -- it’s about the same as you would get from a WTI oil.

In response to a question regarding how they determine what a significant difference in vapor pressure is Mr. Sutton said he didn’t think any study has ever been done to definitively answer that. Gasoline is blended up to 15 pounds vapor pressure by design and moved safely. We know that a lot of crude oils are in the 5 to 12 range; we know that a lot of the new shale crudes from the Niobrara in Colorado, Eagle Ford, Utica, Bakken are more in the 8 to 12 range; but he does not believe any research like that has been done.

Ms. Cutting said the DOT regulation lists flammable liquids up to a vapor pressure of 43.5 so by regulation itself, it is a flammable liquid. Flammable gases could have a vapor pressure above 33.5 according to the DOT. The rail car design, even for the older DOT 1-11 cars, is designed for 100 pounds of pressure – and that is not even the burst pressure which is 250 to 500 psi. So when talking about a vapor pressure that is 11 or 12, we are not anywhere near the design capacity for pressure via rail car and 4 times less than the regulatory threshold between flammable liquids and flammable gases by DOT’s own definition.
Vapor Pressure Seasonality

- One NDPC member’s rail terminal has been measuring Reid Vapor Pressure (RVP) at their rail-loading facility for each unit train loaded since initiating operations in 2013
- The rail terminal receives Bakken quality crude oil from both truck deliveries and pipeline receipts
- The onsite test method is ASTM D323-B (Reid, 100°F)
- This data is summarized on the following slide

Vapor Pressure Seasonality

- While there is some variability to the data, it shows seasonal variation over a narrow range: 8 psi to 11 psi
- This is exactly as would be expected, with a predictable pattern of higher vapor pressure in the winter and lower vapor pressures in the hotter summer months
- Over this entire 7-month period, there are no unusually high values
- This study demonstrates a predictable, consistent crude oil stream
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Bakken Crude - Conclusions

- BKN crude is a light sweet crude oil
  - API gravity ~40 to 43° and sulfur < 0.3 wt. %
  - Similar to other light sweet crude oils
- Quality is very consistent
  - Both well-to-well and throughout the supply chain
  - Little variation throughout entire basin
  - Shows no “spiking” with NGL’s before rail shipment
  - No practical changes in quality during transit
- Classified correctly
  - As a Class II Flammable Liquid
  - Recommended to be categorized as Packing Group I

Bakken Crude - Conclusions

- While the companies operating in the Bakken use a variety of well site production equipment and operating conditions:
  - The data consistency shows the equipment is limited in its ability to significantly impact vapor pressure and light ends.
  - This is consistent with the design and expected capabilities of the equipment.
  - Measurable reductions in ethane and propane can be achieved by running the equipment at higher temperatures.
In response to a question on how can you assure anyone that there was independence and so forth and no bias when the study was paid for by industry, Mr. Sutton said by using a company like Turner, Mason that is well regarded in the industry for doing studies, utilizing an independent third party laboratory for all of the testing, SGS Controls, and providing the detail that is contained in this report for anyone’s review. How do we also know the data is good? Comparing it to other independent work, to look at what the APFM obtained, what PHMSA obtained and their data just came out late July. He stated that he had a copy of that study and that was the first thing he did was to look at – how does it compare. PHMSA’s study was funded by PHMSA and it was done by a different laboratory than was used by Turner Mason – which is encouraging when you see different organizations using different laboratories and coming up with the same analytical results. The API is working on a project that is just in the draft stages right now.

The Commission members, Mr. Sutton and the industry representatives discussed the best practices that had been recommended in the study and how they would impact field operations. They discussed in detail:

- current field operating practices;
- types of production equipment;
- the balancing that takes place in the field of capturing or flaring the gases;
- the temperature and pressure that is needed to move the materials through the pipelines;
- operating the equipment as per the manufacturers recommended operating specifications;
- impact of multi-pad drilling and the consolidation of equipment in one location in order to have a smaller footprint on the surface;
- new technology that is evolving to deal with these issues;
- operating conditions during the winter when temperatures are much lower;
- rail cars, equipment installed on rail cars and rail safety issues;
- volume of Bakken crude moving by rail compared to other crude;
- the need for clarification of the DOT proposed regulations;
- comparison of Bakken crude oil to other crude oils without scientific data; and
- the ongoing study being done by PHMSA and the API study.
In response to a question, Mr. Helms stated it would be his recommendation that the Commission hold a public hearing to obtain testimony on these issues including the recommendations outlined in the Turner Mason study and then the staff bring back a recommended order or perhaps a recommended emergency rule with the goal to make the crude oil as safe as possible with it being a Packing Group 1 DOT Class 3 flammable liquid.

It was moved by Attorney General Stenehjem and seconded by Commissioner Goehring that the Oil and Gas Division proceed with the scheduling of a public hearing to take information on action steps to improve the reduction of volatility of crude oil at North Dakota well sites. On a roll call vote, Governor Dalrymple, Attorney General Stenehjem and Agriculture Commissioner Goehring voted aye. The motion carried unanimously.

Mr. Helms indicated that there were several informational items that the Commission had requested at their last meeting that they will present at the Commission’s next meeting or subsequent meetings. Governor Dalrymple stated that he would be asking the Secretary of Transportation on Friday about the proposed rules on railcars as there are a lot of questions about what is being proposed.

Being no further Department of Mineral Resources business, Governor Dalrymple adjourned this portion of the meeting at 12:11 p.m. and the Commission took up Administrative business.
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Governor Dalrymple called the Administrative portion of the Industrial Commission meeting to order at 12:11 p.m. following completion of Department of Mineral Resources business.

Ms. Karlene Fine, Industrial Commission Executive Director, presented the non-confidential and confidential July 1, 2014 meeting minutes for the Commission’s consideration.

It was moved by Commissioner Goehring and seconded by Attorney General Stenehjem that the Industrial Commission approves the non-confidential and confidential July 1, 2014 meeting minutes. Governor Dalrymple, Attorney General Stenehjem and Commissioner Goehring voted aye. The motion carried unanimously.

Being no further Administrative business, Governor Dalrymple adjourned the Commission meeting at 12:12 p.m.
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