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## PARTICIPANTS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sponsor</th>
<th>Cost Share</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BNI Coal</td>
<td>$ 250,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DOE</td>
<td>$ 270,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NDIC</td>
<td>$ 250,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Cost</strong></td>
<td><strong>$ 770,000</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Project Schedule - 9 Months**

- **Contract Date** – June 10, 2005  
- **Start Date** – June 14, 2005  
- **Completion Date** – May 1, 2006  
- **Extended to** – September 30, 2006  
  - January 31, 2007  
  - October 31, 2007  
  - May 31, 2008

**Project Deliverables**

- **Contract Signed**: ✓
- **Quarterly Reports**:  
  - 10/1/05(✓);  
  - 1/1/06(✓); 4/1/06(✓)
- **Final Report**:  
  - 10/31/07(✓)
  - 5/31/08(✓)

## OBJECTIVE / STATEMENT OF WORK:

Determine the feasibility of developing a commercial activated char (AC) production process using lignite. The project would: 1) examine viable options for producing AC sorbents from lignite; 2) scale-up the carbon activation process of lignite from laboratory fixed-bed to pilot-scale production; 3) determine the surface area, physiochemical surface characteristics, and flue gas surface interactions of prepared carbons and compare to bench-scale and other carbons; and 4) develop a design for commercial implementation of an AC production facility in North Dakota.

## Status

### July 1 – September 30, 2005 Status Report

A bench-scale rotary kiln was made fully operational followed by shakedown carbonization tests using Center mine lignite. Shakedown tests preliminary results indicate production of good intermediate char, although best conditions will be defined in future tests.

### October 1 – December 21, 2005

Two types of Hagel lignite coal were sized to nominal -1/8 inch + 10-mesh material. Carbonation was carried out on a semi-continuous basis. Steam activation of carbonized lignite was investigated. Mercury capture results in a pilot scale ESP with subbituminous coal flue gas using Center Mine lignite and DARCO carbon were compared.

### January 1 – March 31, 2006

Additional testing was conducted to evaluate the properties of the activated carbon samples generated from North Dakota lignite. The mercury capturing performance was evaluated and compared to the DARCO carbon. The carbon was evaluated for iodine number. A feasibility study including process design, equipment selection, and component layout have been for an activated carbon production plant near a North Dakota power plant.
Final Report
Activated carbon was produced from lignite coal in both laboratory-scale fixed-bed reactors and in a small pilot-scale rotary kiln. The activated carbon production process involved two main steps: 1) carbonization – driving out moisture and volatiles to obtain the fixed carbon portion of the coal and 2) activation – partial gasification with steam or carbon dioxide to open the pore structure and increase the surface area. The laboratory-scale tests were conducted to determine the optimum process conditions for activated carbon production and to guide the pilot-scale optimization process conditions. The EERC was successfully able to upgrade the laboratory-scale activated carbon production system to a pilot-scale rotary kiln system. Pilot-scale tests were conducted in a continuous rotary kiln system to determine the optimum process parameters for the production of activated carbon. The EERC conducted a series of optimization tests for both carbonization and steam activation processes in both laboratory-scale fixed-bed reactors and in the pilot-scale rotary kiln system. The conceptual design feasibility study addressed the possibility of using local North Dakota lignite to produce powdered activated carbon. Plant production, annual operating cost and sales adjusted for maintenance downtime, and financing were used to determine a simple annual return on investment. The activated carbon produced from Center, North Dakota would represent a
viable and competitive product. Future work should include pilot-plant demonstrations to confirm all technical assumptions as well as provide samples for customer development.