** Content Expert Report**

**Early Childhood Education**

**(05-17)**

Education Standards and Practices Board

**Program report status** (check one)**:**

**Initial review  Continuing Review  Focus Visit**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Name of Content Expert:** |  |
| **Content Expert Telephone:** |  |
| **Content Expert Email:** |  |
| **Date Submitted:** |  |
| **Institution Being Evaluated:** |  |
| **Program Being Evaluated:** |  |
| **Degree:** |  |
| **Grade Level(s):** |  |

**Is this program offered at more than one site?**  **Yes**  **No**

If yes, list sites where the program is offered:

**Summary of Recommendations**

After completing your review, for each sub-standard listed below, place a check under the column that matches your decision.

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Standard** | **Met** | **Met With Weakness** | **Not Met** |
| **50037.1** |  |  |  |
| **50037.2** |  |  |  |
| **50037.3** |  |  |  |
| **50037.4** |  |  |  |
| **50037.5** |  |  |  |
| **50037.6** |  |  |  |
| **50037.7** |  |  |  |
| **50037.8** |  |  |  |
| **50037.9** |  |  |  |
| **50037.10** |  |  |  |

**Early Childhood Education**

**Directions:** Please read the Content Area Report (CAR) supplied by the institution.

1. Review program’s response to Section II.1 Areas of Weakness from Prior Review. For each standard in which there was a weakness from the prior review, make a recommendation as to whether that weakness should be removed or retained. If it is to be retained provide a rationale for retaining it in Part I.B. If it has been partially but not fully addressed restate the weakness in Part I. C and provide a rationale.
2. Make a recommendation for each program standard as to whether it has been met, met with weakness, or not met based on the evidence provided. For any standard “met with weakness” or “not met” identify the weakness(es) and provide a rationale.

**Part I: Areas of Weakness from Prior Review \*\*\***

**XXXXX.X** *Write the standard and the original weakness here.*

A. **Content Expert Decision**: Should the weakness be removed, retained, or restated and retained? Check one only.

     Weakness Should Be Removed      Weakness Should Be Retained

     Restated Weakness

B. **For Decisions of “Weakness Should Be Retained”:** Provide a rationale for the decision. This information will guide the institution to provide additional information in their rejoinder, or be used to determine stipulations for the institution to address by the time the next visit occurs.

i. **Rationale:**

C. **For Decisions of “Restated Weakness”:** Write the new language for the weakness. Provide a rationale for the decision. This information will guide the institution to provide additional information in their rejoinder, or be used to determine stipulations for the institution to address by the time the next visit occurs.

1. **Restated Weakness:**

ii. **Rationale:**

***\*\*\*Note: This template can be copied and pasted into the document multiple times when more than one area of weakness was cited in a prior review.***

1. The program area has been given the option to omit a response to standards 1, 3, 6 and 8 because information on these standards will appear in the ESPB/InTASC Unit report. Therefore if no information has been provided do not assume the standard is unmet; instead, check the item that states “No Information provided. See ESPB/InTASC CER Report.” If related ESPB/InTASC Standards are met, program standards 1,3,6, and 8 will be met as well.

**Part II Program Standard Recommendations**

**50037.1** The program requires the study of typical and atypical child development to include the physical, social, emotional, language, cognitive, and aesthetic development of all young children from birth through age eight including characteristics of children as learners and as individuals.

A. **Content Expert Decision**: Is the program standard met, met with weakness, or not met?

     Met      Met with Weakness      Not Met

\_\_\_No Information provided. See ESPB/InTASC CER Report

B. **For Decisions of “Met with Weakness” or “Not Met”:** Describe the specific weakness identified and the rationale for the decision. This information will guide the institution to provide additional information in their rejoinder, or be used to determine stipulations for the institution to address by the time the next visit occurs.

i. **Weaknesses:**

ii. **Rationale:**

**50037.2** The program requires the study of historical, philosophical, and social foundations and how these foundations influence current thought and practice in early childhood education.

A. **Content Expert Decision**: Is the sub-standard met, met with weakness, or not met?

     Met      Met with Weakness      Not Met

B. **For Decisions of “Met with Weakness” or “Not Met”:** Describe the specific weakness identified and the rationale for the decision. This information will guide the institution to provide additional information in their rejoinder, or be used to determine stipulations for the institution to address by the time the next visit occurs.

i. **Weaknesses:**

ii. **Rationale:**

**50037.3** The program requires the study of how to establish and maintain collaborative partnerships with families, communities, and other professionals.

A. **Content Expert Decision**: Is the sub-standard met, met with weakness, or not met?

     Met      Met with Weakness      Not Met

\_\_ No Information provided. See ESPB/InTASC CER Report

B. **For Decisions of “Met with Weakness” or “Not Met”:** Describe the specific weakness identified and the rationale for the decision. This information will guide the institution to provide additional information in their rejoinder, or be used to determine stipulations for the institution to address by the time the next visit occurs.

i. **Weaknesses:**

ii. **Rationale:**

**50037.4** The program requires sixteen semester hours of study in developmentally appropriate practices, and the development and implementation of curriculum instruction based on knowledge of individual children and the community.

A. **Content Expert Decision**: Is the sub-standard met, met with weakness, or not met?

     Met      Met with Weakness      Not Met

B. **For Decisions of “Met with Weakness” or “Not Met”:** Describe the specific weakness identified and the rationale for the decision. This information will guide the institution to provide additional information in their rejoinder, or be used to determine stipulations for the institution to address by the time the next visit occurs. A rationale does not need to be provided for standards “met”.

i. **Weaknesses:**

ii. **Rationale:**

**50037.5** The program requires the study of strategies to encourage positive social interaction among children, to promote positive conflict resolution, and to develop personal self‐control, self‐motivation, and self‐esteem.

A. **Content Expert Decision**: Is the sub-standard met, met with weakness, or not met?

     Met      Met with Weakness      Not Met

B. **For Decisions of “Met with Weakness” or “Not Met”:** Describe the specific weakness identified and the rationale for the decision. This information will guide the institution to provide additional information in their rejoinder, or be used to determine stipulations for the institution to address by the time the next visit occurs. A rationale does not need to be provided for standards “met”.

i. **Weaknesses:**

ii. **Rationale:**

**50037.6** The program requires the study of formal and informal assessment, the use of assessment information on planning and individualizing curriculum and teaching practices, and on providing feedback to families of all young children.

A. **Content Expert Decision**: Is the sub-standard met, met with weakness, or not met?

     Met      Met with Weakness      Not Met

\_\_ No Information provided. See ESPB/InTASC CER Report

B. **For Decisions of “Met with Weakness” or “Not Met”:** Describe the specific weakness identified and the rationale for the decision. This information will guide the institution to provide additional information in their rejoinder, or be used to determine stipulations for the institution to address by the time the next visit occurs. A rationale does not need to be provided for standards “met”.

i. **Weaknesses:**

ii. **Rationale:**

**50037.7** The program requires the study of current, appropriate instructional and augmentative technologies for professions, children, and families.

A. **Content Expert Decision**: Is the sub-standard met, met with weakness, or not met?

     Met      Met with Weakness      Not Met

B. **For Decisions of “Met with Weakness” or “Not Met”:** Describe the specific weakness identified and the rationale for the decision. This information will guide the institution to provide additional information in their rejoinder, or be used to determine stipulations for the institution to address by the time the next visit occurs. A rationale does not need to be provided for standards “met”.

i. **Weaknesses:**

ii. **Rationale:**

**50037.8** The program requires the study of actively modeling professionalism, advocacy, ethical behavior, and commitment to lifelong learning.

A. **Content Expert Decision**: Is the sub-standard met, met with weakness, or not met?

     Met      Met with Weakness      Not Met

\_\_ No Information provided. See ESPB/InTASC CER Report

B. **For Decisions of “Met with Weakness” or “Not Met”:** Describe the specific weakness identified and the rationale for the decision. This information will guide the institution to provide additional information in their rejoinder, or be used to determine stipulations for the institution to address by the time the next visit occurs. A rationale does not need to be provided for standards “met”.

i. **Weaknesses:**

ii. **Rationale:**

**50037.9** The program requires three supervised field experiences (one at a pre‐kindergarten level) and two student teaching experiences for a minimum of ten weeks. One student teaching experience must be in an approved pre‐kindergarten or kindergarten setting and the other in grades 1, 2, or 3, and include the opportunity to work with children with special needs.

A. **Content Expert Decision**: Is the sub-standard met, met with weakness, or not met?

     Met      Met with Weakness      Not Met

B. **For Decisions of “Met with Weakness” or “Not Met”:** Describe the specific weakness identified and the rationale for the decision. This information will guide the institution to provide additional information in their rejoinder, or be used to determine stipulations for the institution to address by the time the next visit occurs. A rationale does not need to be provided for standards “met”.

i. **Weaknesses:**

ii. **Rationale:**

**50037.10** The program requires the study of basic principles of administration, organization, leadership and operation of early childhood programs, including supervision of staff, volunteers, and program evaluation.

A. **Content Expert Decision**: Is the sub-standard met, met with weakness, or not met?

     Met      Met with Weakness      Not Met

B. **For Decisions of “Met with Weakness” or “Not Met”:** Describe the specific weakness identified and the rationale for the decision. This information will guide the institution to provide additional information in their rejoinder, or be used to determine stipulations for the institution to address by the time the next visit occurs. A rationale does not need to be provided for standards “met”.

i. **Weaknesses:**

ii. **Rationale:**

**Curriculum Exhibit Form SFN 14381 (or status sheet):** This form or status sheet provides the opportunity for institutions to document the entire program including general studies, teaching specialty, and professional education. This form is used to document each student transcript that applies for teacher licensure. Please review this form to make sure all of the courses listed above for each sub-standard are listed as a “**Requirement”. If a course is missing or is not a required course, please list below:**

**Content Expert Review of Standard and recommendation to State Board of Examiner (BOE) Team for the Site Visit:** The content expert provides an initial review of the program standard and provides a recommendation to the CAEP/State Board of Examiners for the onsite review. **What additional information should the CAEP/ESPB Team research on-site during the visit?**

This report will be electronically forwarded to the CAEP/State Board of Examiners nine months prior to the scheduled program review visit. If you have any questions or concerns, please contact the Education Standards and Practices Board Executive Director at (701) 328-9646.

**Thank you for taking the time from your busy schedule to help document that our North Dakota institutions of higher education have prepared our teachers for the best possible education for all North Dakota students.**