

Usage Rating Profile-Intervention Revised (URP-IR)

	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Slightly Disagree	Slightly Agree	Agree	Strongly Agree
1. This intervention is an effective choice for addressing a variety of problems.	1	2	3	4	5	6
2. I would need additional resources to carry out this intervention.	1	2	3	4	5	6
3. I would be able to allocate my time to implement this intervention.	1	2	3	4	5	6
4. I understand how to use this intervention.	1	2	3	4	5	6
5. A positive home-school relationship is needed to implement this intervention.	1	2	3	4	5	6
6. I am knowledgeable about the intervention procedures.	1	2	3	4	5	6
7. The intervention is a fair way to handle the child's behavior problem.	1	2	3	4	5	6
8. The total time required to implement the intervention procedures would be manageable.	1	2	3	4	5	6
9. I would not be interested in implementing this intervention.	1	2	3	4	5	6
10. My administrator would be supportive of my use of this intervention.	1	2	3	4	5	6
11. I would have positive attitudes about implementing this intervention.	1	2	3	4	5	6
12. This intervention is a good way to handle the child's behavior problem.	1	2	3	4	5	6
13. Preparation of materials needed for this intervention would be minimal.	1	2	3	4	5	6
14. Use of this intervention would be consistent with the mission of my school.	1	2	3	4	5	6
15. Parental collaboration is required in order to use this intervention.	1	2	3	4	5	6

	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Slightly Disagree	Slightly Agree	Agree	Strongly Agree
16. Implementation of this intervention is well matched to what is expected in my job.	1	2	3	4	5	6
17. Material resources needed for this intervention are reasonable.	1	2	3	4	5	6
18. I would implement this intervention with a good deal of enthusiasm.	1	2	3	4	5	6
19. This intervention is too complex to carry out accurately.	1	2	3	4	5	6
20. These intervention procedures are consistent with the way things are done in my system.	1	2	3	4	5	6
21. This intervention would not be disruptive to other students.	1	2	3	4	5	6
22. I would be committed to carrying out this intervention.	1	2	3	4	5	6
23. The intervention procedures easily fit in with my current practices.	1	2	3	4	5	6
24. I would need consultative support to implement this intervention.	1	2	3	4	5	6
25. I understand the procedures of this intervention.	1	2	3	4	5	6
26. My work environment is conducive to implementation of an intervention like this one.	1	2	3	4	5	6
27. The amount of time required for record keeping would be reasonable.	1	2	3	4	5	6
28. Regular home-school communication is needed to implement intervention procedures.	1	2	3	4	5	6
29. I would require additional professional development in order to implement this intervention.	1	2	3	4	5	6

URP- I SCORING GUIDE

Factor I: ACCEPTABILITY

Items - 1, 7, 9*, 11, 12, 18, 21, 22, 23

Factor II: UNDERSTANDING

Items – 4, 6, 25

Factor III: HOME SCHOOL COLLABORATION

Items – 5, 15, 28

Factor IV: FEASIBILITY

Items – 3, 8, 13, 17, 19*, 27

Factor V: SYSTEM CLIMATE

Items – 10, 14, 16, 20, 26

Factor VI: SYSTEM SUPPORT

Items – 2, 24, 29

* REVERSE CODE THESE ITEMS WHEN SCORING

Note: Use care when interpreting individual factors and in combination. For example, a LOW score for system support reflects greater ability to independently implement the intervention. Thus, if aggregating across all factors to find an overall mean indicative of more favorable responses, consider reverse coding all items in this factor.

Citation for the measure:

Chafouleas, S.M., Briesch, A.M., Neugebauer, S. R., & Riley-Tillman, T. C. (2011). *Usage Rating Profile – Intervention (Revised)*. Storrs, CT: University of Connecticut.

Suggested citation for the associated publication is as follows:

Briesch, A.M., Chafouleas, S. M., Neugebauer, S. R., & Riley-Tillman, T.C., (in press). Exploring the multi-dimensional influences on intervention usage: Revision of the Usage Rating Profile-Intervention (URP-IR). *Journal of School Psychology*.