

West Fargo Park District

West Fargo, North Dakota

Audit Report

For the Year Ended December 31, 2017

JOSHUA C. GALLION STATE AUDITOR

Office of the State Auditor Division of Local Government

| For the Year Ended December 31, 2017 | |
|---|----|
| Park District Officials and Audit Personnel | 1 |
| Independent Auditor's Report | 2 |
| Basic Financial Statements | |
| Statement of Net Position | 4 |
| Statement of Activities | 5 |
| Balance Sheet - Governmental Funds | 6 |
| Reconciliation of Governmental Funds Balance Sheet to the | |
| Statement of Net Position | 7 |
| Statement of Revenues Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balances - | |
| Governmental Funds | 8 |
| Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balances to the | |
| Statement of Activities | Q |
| Notes to the Financial Statements | |
| Required Supplementary Information | |
| Budgetary Comparison Schedule | 25 |
| Schedule of Employer's Share of Net Pension Liability and | 20 |
| Employer Contributions | 26 |
| Schedule of Employer's Share of Net OPEB Liability and | 20 |
| Employer Contributions | 27 |
| Notes to the Required Supplementary Information | |
| | |
| Supplementary Information Schedule of Fund Activity - Cash Basis | 20 |
| Schedule of Fund Activity - Cash Basis | |
| Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting and on Compliance | |
| and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements | |
| Performed in Accordance with Government Auditing Standards | 31 |
| Summary of Auditor's Results | |
| Schedule of Audit Findings | |
| Governance Communication | |

PARK BOARD OFFICIALS

Todd Rheault

Kathy Scully Ken Zetocha Jeff McCracken President

Vice President

Board Member Board Member Board Member

Justin Germundson

Executive Director

Business Manager

Barb Erbstoesser

AUDIT PERSONNEL

Craig Hashbarger, CPA, CIA, CFE Jonathan Worrall, CPA

Audit Manager Audit In-Charge



Local Government Division FARGO OFFICE MANAGER – CRAIG HASHBARGER Phone (701)239-7250

STATE OF NORTH DAKOTA OFFICE OF THE STATE AUDITOR FARGO OFFICE BRANCH 1655 43RD STREET SOUTH, SUITE 203 FARGO, NORTH DAKOTA 58103

INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT

Park Board Commissioners West Fargo Park District West Fargo, North Dakota

Report on the Financial Statements

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of the governmental activities, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of the West Fargo Park District, West Fargo, North Dakota, as of and for the year ended December 31, 2017, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the West Fargo Park District's basic financial statements as listed in the table of contents.

Management's Responsibility for the Financial Statements

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of these financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America; this includes the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

Auditor's Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express opinions on these financial statements based on our audit. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free from material misstatement.

An audit involves performing procedures to obtain audit evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. The procedures selected depend on the auditor's judgment, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to fraud or error. In making those risk assessments, the auditor considers internal control relevant to the entity's preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes evaluating the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the financial statements.

We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinions.

Opinions

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the respective financial position of the governmental activities, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of the West Fargo Park District, West Fargo, North Dakota, as of December 31, 2017, and the respective changes in financial position for the year then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Emphasis of a Matter

As discussed in Note 2 to the financial statements, the West Fargo Park District has restated the prior period financial statements due to new accounting guidance, GASB Statement No. 75, Accounting and Financial Reporting for Post-Employment Benefit Plans Other Than Pensions. The prior period financial statements have also been restated due to adjustments to correct the balance of Special Assessments Payable. Our opinion is not modified with respect to these matters.

Other Matters

Required Supplementary Information

Accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America require that the *budgetary comparison schedule*, *schedule of employer's share of net pension liability and employer contributions*, and *schedule of employer's share of net OPEB liability and employer contributions* on pages 25-27 be presented to supplement the basic financial statements. Such information, although not a part of the basic financial statements, is required by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board who considers it to be an essential part of financial reporting for placing the basic financial statements in an appropriate operational, economic, or historical context. We have applied certain limited procedures to the required supplementary information in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America, which consisted of inquiries of management about the methods of preparing the information and comparing the information for consistency with management's responses to our inquiries, the basic financial statements, and other knowledge we obtained during our audit of the basic financial statements. We do not express an opinion or provide any assurance on the information because the limited procedures do not provide us with sufficient evidence to express an opinion or provide any assurance.

Management has omitted the *management's discussion and analysis* that accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America require to be presented to supplement the basic financial statements. Such missing information, although not a part of the basic financial statements, is required by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board who considers it to be an essential part of financial reporting for placing the basic financial statements in an appropriate operational, economic, or historical context. Our opinion on the basic financial statements is not affected by this missing information.

Other Information

Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming opinions on the financial statements that collectively comprise the West Fargo Park District's basic financial statements. The *schedule of fund activity - cash basis* is presented for purposes of additional analysis and is not a required part of the basic financial statements.

The schedule of fund activity - cash basis is the responsibility of management and was derived from and relates directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic financial statements. Such information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the basic financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic financial statements and certain additional procedures, including financial statements or to the basic financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the schedule of fund activity - cash basis is fairly stated, in all material respects, in relation to the basic financial statements as a whole.

Other Reporting Required by Government Auditing Standards

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we have also issued our report dated March 5, 2019 on our consideration of the Park District's internal control over financial reporting and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements and other matters. The purpose of that report is to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on internal control over financial reporting or on compliance. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the West Fargo Park Board's internal control over financial reporting and compliance.

/s/

Joshua C. Gallion State Auditor

Fargo, North Dakota March 5, 2019

Statement of Net Position

December 31, 2017

| | G | overnmental Activities |
|---|----|---|
| ASSETS Cash and Investments Cash with Fiscal Agent Intergovernmental Receivable Accounts Receivable Due from County Taxes Receivable Special Assessments Receivable Capital Assets, Net | \$ | 3,777,742 2,464,709 172,274 58,937 7,845 36,044 4,178,007 29,497,989 |
| Total Assets | \$ | 40,193,547 |
| DEFERRED OUTLFOWS OF RESOURCES Derived from Pension and OPEB | \$ | 912,337 |
| LIABILITIES Accounts Payable Salaries Payable Encumbrance Retainage Payable Interest Payable Long-Term Liabilities Due Within One Year Long-Term Debt Compensated Absences Payable Due After One Year | \$ | 20,850 64,710 5,910 39,601 308,046 2,438,551 34,385 |
| Long-Term Debt Compensated Absences Payable Net Pension & OPEB Liability | | 26,979,724 137,542 1,784,670 |
| Total Liabilities | \$ | 31,813,989 |
| DEFERRED INFOWS OF RESOURCES Derived from Pension and OPEB | \$ | 69,818 |
| NET POSITION Net Investment in Capital Assets Restricted Debt Service Capital Projects Culture and Recreation Unrestricted | \$ | 40,113 4,889,268 4,510,706 117,540 (335,550) |
| Total Net Position | \$ | 9,222,077 |

Statement of Activities

For the Year Ended December 31, 2017

| | | I | Program Reven | ue | R (| et (Expense) evenue and Changes in let Position |
|-------------------------------|---|---------------------------------------|---------------|---------------|--------|--|
| | | | | | | |
| | | Charges for | Grants and | Grants and | G | overnmental |
| Functions/Programs | Expenses | Services | Contributions | Contributions | | Activities |
| Governmental Activities | | | | | | |
| General Government | \$ 2,118,306 | \$- | \$- | \$- | \$ | (2,118,306) |
| Recreation | 4,704,181 | 1,420,206 | 564,191 | 1,139,311 | | (1,580,473) |
| Other | 68,980 | - | - | - | | (68,980) |
| Interest on Long-Term Debt | 862,382 | - | - | - | | (862,382) |
| | | | | | | |
| Total Governmental Activities | \$ 7,753,849 | \$1,420,206 | \$ 564,191 | \$ 1,139,311 | \$ | (4,630,141) |
| | General Reve Property Taxe Unrestricted G Unrestricted In Miscellaneous | s Grants and Cor Investment Ear | | | \$ | 3,589,710 660,238 14,176 258,597 |
| | Total General | Revenues | | | \$ | 4,522,721 |
| | Change in Net | Position | | | \$ | (107,420) |
| | Net Position - | January 1 | | | \$ | 13,399,808 |
| | Prior Period A | djustments | | | \$ | (4,070,311) |
| | Net Position - | January 1, as | Restated | | \$ | 9,329,497 |
| | Net Position - | December 31 | | | \$ | 9,222,077 |

Balance Sheet – Governmental Funds

December 31, 2017

| | | | | | | | | Other | | Total |
|--|---------|---------|-----------------|-----------|----|--------------|----|--------------|----|-------------|
| | General | | Capital Project | | | Debt Service | | Governmental | | overnmental |
| | | Fund | | Fund | | Fund | | Funds | | Funds |
| ASSETS | | | | | | | | | | |
| Cash and Investments | \$ | 608,784 | \$ | 2,213,631 | \$ | 837,787 | \$ | 117,540 | \$ | 3,777,742 |
| Cash with Fiscal Agent | | - | | 2,302,708 | | 162,001 | | - | | 2,464,709 |
| Intergovernmental Receivables | | 172,274 | | - | | - | | - | | 172,274 |
| Accounts Receivable | | 58,937 | | - | | - | | - | | 58,937 |
| Due from County | | 4,349 | | - | | 3,496 | | - | | 7,845 |
| Taxes Receivable | | 20,021 | | - | | 16,023 | | - | | 36,044 |
| Special Assessments Receivable | | - | | - | | 4,178,007 | | - | | 4,178,007 |
| Total Assets | \$ | 864,365 | \$ | 4,516,339 | \$ | 5,197,314 | \$ | 117,540 | \$ | 10,695,558 |
| LIABILITIES, DEFERRED INFLOWS OF | | | | | | | | | | |
| RESOURCES AND FUND BALANCES | | | | | | | | | | |
| Accounts Payable | \$ | 20,850 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 20,850 |
| Salaries Payable | | 64,710 | | - | | - | | - | · | 64,710 |
| Encumbrance | | 277 | | 5,633 | | - | | - | | 5,910 |
| Total Liabilities | \$ | 85,837 | \$ | 5,633 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 91,470 |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| Deferred Inflows of Resources | • | 00.004 | • | | • | 40.000 | • | | • | 00.044 |
| Taxes Receivable | \$ | 20,021 | \$ | - | \$ | 16,023 | \$ | - | \$ | 36,044 |
| Certified Special Assessments | | - | | - | | 4,178,007 | | - | | 4,178,007 |
| Total Deferred Inflows of Resources | \$ | 20,021 | \$ | - | \$ | 4,194,030 | \$ | - | \$ | 4,214,051 |
| Total Liabilities and Deferred Inflows | | | | | | | | | | |
| of Resources | \$ | 105,858 | \$ | 5,633 | \$ | 4,194,030 | \$ | - | \$ | 4,305,521 |
| Fund Balances Restricted | | | | | | | | | | |
| Debt Service | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 1,003,284 | \$ | - | \$ | 1,003,284 |
| Capital Projects | ÷ | - | Ŧ | 4,510,706 | Ŧ | | Ŷ | - | Ŷ | 4,510,706 |
| Culture and Recreation | | - | | - | | - | | 1,311 | | 1,311 |
| Assigned | | | | | | | | , | | |
| Capital Projects | | - | | - | | - | | 116,229 | | 116,229 |
| Unassigned | | | | | | | | | | |
| General Fund | | 758,507 | | - | | - | | - | | 758,507 |
| Total Fund Balances | \$ | 758,507 | \$ | 4,510,706 | \$ | 1,003,284 | \$ | 117,540 | \$ | 6,390,037 |
| Total Liabilities, Deferred Inflows of | | | | | | | | | | |
| Resources, and Fund Balances | \$ | 864,365 | \$ | 4,516,339 | \$ | 5,197,314 | \$ | 117,540 | \$ | 10,695,558 |

Reconciliation of the Balance Sheet of Governmental Funds to the Statement of Net Position December 31, 2017

| Total Fund Balances - Governmental Funds | | \$ 6,390,037 |
|---|-----------------|------------------|
| Total net position reported for government activities in the statement of net position is different | | |
| because: | | |
| Capital assets used in governmental activities are not financial resources and, therefore, are not reported in the governmental funds. | | 29,497,989 |
| Certain receivables will be collected after year-end, but are not available soon enough to pay for the current period's expenditures and therefore are reported as deferred inflow of resources in the funds. | | |
| Property Taxes Receivable | \$ 36,044 | |
| Special Assessments Receivable | 4,178,007 | 4,214,051 |
| Deferred outflows and inflows of resources related to pensions and OPEB are applicable to future periods and, therefore, are not reported in the governmental funds. | | |
| Deferred Outflows Related to Pensions & OPEB | \$ 912,337 | |
| Deferred Inflows Related to Pensions & OPEB | (69,818) | 842,519 |
| Long-term liabilities are not due and payable in the current period and accordingly are not reported as fund liabilities. All liabilities, both current and long-term, are reported in | | |
| the statement of net position. | | |
| Long-Term Debt | \$ (29,418,275) | |
| Interest Payable | (308,046) | |
| Retainage Payable | (39,601) | |
| Compensated Absences Payable | (171,927) | (04 700 540) |
| Net Pension and OPEB Liability | (1,784,670) | (31,722,519) |
| Total Net Position of Governmental Activities | | \$ 9,222,077 |

Statement of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balances - Governmental Funds For the Year Ended December 31, 2017

| | | General Fund | Ca | pital Project Fund | D | ebt Service Fund | Go | Other overnmental Funds | G | Total overnmental Funds |
|--|----------|-----------------|----------|-----------------------|----|---------------------|----------|-------------------------------|----------|-------------------------------|
| REVENUES | | | • | | • | | • | | • | / |
| Taxes | \$ | 1,986,914 | \$ | - | \$ | 1,592,807 | \$ | - | \$ | 3,579,721 |
| Special Assessments | | - | | - | | 673,209 | | - | | 673,209 |
| Charges for Services | | 939,159 | | - | | - | | 133,848 | | 1,073,007 |
| Intergovernmental Interest Income | | 660,238 | | 75,000 | | - 251 | | 489,191 | | 1,224,429 |
| In Lieu of Dedication | | - | | 13,925 | | 201 | | - | | 14,176 347,199 |
| | | - | | 347,199 | | - | | - | | |
| Miscellaneous | | 4,174 | | - | | 251,373 | | 3,050 | | 258,597 |
| Total Revenues | \$ | 3,590,485 | \$ | 436,124 | \$ | 2,517,640 | \$ | 626,089 | \$ | 7,170,338 |
| EXPENDITURES | | | | | | | | | | |
| Current | | | | | | | | | | |
| General Government | \$ | 2,121,887 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 2,121,887 |
| Recreation | | 968,691 | | - | | - | | 680,516 | | 1,649,207 |
| Capital Outlay | | - | | 976,587 | | 919,943 | | - | | 1,896,530 |
| Other | | - | | - | | 68,980 | | - | | 68,980 |
| Debt Service | | | | | | | | | | |
| Principal | | 75,000 | | 170,000 | | 7,861,918 | | - | | 8,106,918 |
| Interest and Fiscal Charges | | - | | 262,097 | | 438,852 | | - | | 700,949 |
| Total Expenditures | \$ | 3,165,578 | \$ | 1,408,684 | \$ | 9,289,693 | \$ | 680,516 | \$ | 14,544,471 |
| Excess (Deficiency) of Revenues | | | | | | | | | | |
| Over Expenditures | \$ | 424,907 | \$ | (972,560) | \$ | (6,772,053) | \$ | (54,427) | \$ | (7,374,133) |
| OTHER FINANCING SOURCES (USES) | | | | | | | | | | |
| Bond Proceeds | \$ | - | \$ | 2,875,000 | \$ | 6,995,000 | \$ | - | \$ | 9,870,000 |
| Bond Premium and Costs | Ψ | - | Ψ | (704) | Ψ | (28,789) | Ψ | - | Ψ | (29,493) |
| Transfers In | | - | | 789,931 | | 459,642 | | 210,269 | | 1,459,842 |
| Transfers Out | | (400,364) | | (239,573) | | (750,000) | | (69,905) | | (1,459,842) |
| | ^ | (400,004) | ^ | 0 404 054 | • | 0.075.050 | • | 1 40 004 | ^ | 0 0 4 0 5 0 7 |
| Total Other Financing Sources and Uses | _\$ | (400,364) | \$ | 3,424,654 | \$ | 6,675,853 | \$ | 140,364 | \$ | 9,840,507 |
| Net Change in Fund Balances | \$ | 24,543 | \$ | 2,452,094 | \$ | (96,200) | \$ | 85,937 | \$ | 2,466,374 |
| Fund Balance - January 1 | \$ | 733,964 | \$ | 2,058,612 | \$ | 1,099,484 | \$ | 31,603 | \$ | 3,923,663 |
| Fund Balance - December 31 | \$ | 758,507 | \$ | 4,510,706 | \$ | 1,003,284 | \$ | 117,540 | \$ | 6,390,037 |

Reconciliation of the Statement of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balances of Governmental Funds to the Statement of Activities

For the Year Ended December 31, 2017

| Net Change in Fund Balances - Total Governmental Funds | | \$ 2,466,374 |
|---|--|-----------------|
| The change in <i>net position</i> reported for governmental activities in the statement of activities is different because: | | |
| Governmental funds report capital outlays as expenditures. However, in the statement of activities, the cost of those assets is allocated over their estimated useful lives and reported as depreciation expense. Current Year Capital Outlay Current Year Capital Contribution Current Year Depreciation | \$ 305,999 1,139,311 <u>(1,076,435)</u> | 368,875 |
| The proceds of debt issuances are reported as other financing sources in governmental funds and contribute to change in fund balance. In the statement of net position, issuing debt increases long-term liabilities and does not affect the statement of activities. Repayment of debt principal is an expenditure in the governmental funds, but the repayment reduces long-term liabilities in the statement of net position. Issuance of Debt Special Assessment Financing Repayment of Debt | \$ (9,995,407) (1,380,486) 8,106,919 | (3,268,974) |
| Bond premium is a reduction in interest expense, as they are amortized over the life of the outstanding bonds using the straight-line method | | 5,908 |
| Some expenses reported in the statement of activities do not require the use of current financial resources and are not reported as expenditures in governmental funds. Decrease in Compensated Absences Decrease in Interest Payable Increase in Retainage Payable | \$ 3,581 44,553 (12,441) | 35,693 |
| Some revenues reported on the statement of activities are not reported as revenues in the governmental funds since they do not represent available resources to pay current expenditures. Net Change in Taxes receivable Net Change in Special Assessments Receivable | \$ 9,989 466,102 | 476,091 |
| The Net Pension and OPEB Liability and related Deferred Outflows of Resources and Deferred Inflows of Resources are reported in the government wide statements; however, activity related to these pension items do not involve current financial resources, and are not reported in the funds. | | 110,001 |
| Net Change in Net Pension and OPEB Liability Net Change in Deferred Outflows of Resources Related to Pensions Net Change in Deferred Inflows of Resources Related to Pensions | \$ (798,757) 601,639 5,731 | (191,387) |
| Change in Net Position of Governmental Activities | | \$ (107,420) |
| | | |

Notes to the Financial Statements For the Year Ended December 31, 2017

NOTE 1: SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

The financial statements of the West Fargo Park District ("Park District") have been prepared in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (GAAP) as applicable to governments. The Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) is the accepted standard setting body for establishing governmental accounting and financial reporting principles. The more significant of the Park District's accounting policies are described below.

A. Reporting Entity

The accompanying financial statements present the activities of the Park District. The Park District has considered all potential component units for which the Park District is financially accountable and other organizations for which the nature and significance of their relationships with the Park District such that exclusion would cause the Park District's financial statements to be misleading or incomplete. The Governmental Accounting Standards Board has set forth criteria to be considered in determining financial accountability. This criteria includes appointing a voting majority of an organization's governing body and (1) the ability of the Park District to impose its will on that organization or (2) the potential for the organization to provide specific financial benefits to, or impose specific financial burdens on the Park District.

Based on these criteria, there is one blended component unit to be included within the Park District as a reporting entity.

Blended Component Unit

West Fargo Park District Building Authority -The West Fargo Park District Building Authority serves only the Park District. The Park District board also serves as the board of the building authority. The primary purpose is to issue bonds for improvements of existing buildings and/or construction of new park building/recreation areas in which specials won't be assessed to finance these projects. In recent years, another significant purpose of the building authority was to issue bonds for improvements of the Memorial Arena and to lease this structure to the Park District. The funds of the building authority are blended with the debt service and capital projects funds of the Park District.

B. Basis of Presentation

Government-wide statements: The statement of net position and the statement of activities display information about the financial activities of the Park District and its blended component unit activities. Reporting on the internal activities has been eliminated to avoid duplication on the statements. The statements show the governmental activities – which are normally financed through taxes & special assessments, fees/charges for services, and intergovernmental revenues.

The statement of activities presents a comparison between direct expenses and program revenues for the function of the Park District's governmental activities. Direct expenses are those that are specifically associated with a program or function and, therefore, are clearly identifiable to a particular function. Program revenues include (a) fees and charges paid by the recipients of goods or services offered by the programs and (b) grants and contributions that are restricted to meeting the operational or capital requirements of a particular program. Revenues that are not classified as program revenues, including taxes, interest and non-restricted grants and contributions, are presented as general revenues.

Fund Financial Statements: Separate financial statements are provided for the governmental funds. The emphasis of fund financial statements is on major governmental funds, each displayed in a separate column. All remaining governmental funds are aggregated and reported as other governmental funds.

The Park District reports the following major governmental funds:

General Fund. The general fund is the general operating fund of the Park District. It is used to account for all financial resources except those required to be accounted for in another fund.

Capital Improvements Fund. The capital improvements fund is used to account for the accumulation of resources for various park capital improvements and construction. The primary revenue source in this fund is interest earned from restricted investments.

Debt Service Fund. This fund is used to account for the resources accumulated and payments made for principal and interest on special assessment, revenue, and general obligation bonds debt.

C. Measurement Focus, Basis of Accounting, and Financial Statement Presentation

Government-wide Financial Statements. The government-wide financial statements are reported using the economic resources measurement focus and the accrual basis of accounting. Revenues are recorded when earned and expenses are recorded at the time liabilities are incurred, regardless of when the related cash flow takes place. Non-exchange transactions, in which the Park District gives (or receives) value without directly receiving (or giving) equal value in exchange, include property taxes, grants, and donations. On an accrual basis, revenue from property taxes is recognized in the fiscal year for which the taxes are levied. Revenue from grants, entitlements and donations is recognized in the fiscal year in which all eligibility requirements have been satisfied.

Governmental Fund Financial Statements. Governmental funds are reported using the current financial resources measurement focus and the modified accrual basis of accounting. Under this method, revenues are recognized when measurable and available. The Park District considers all revenues reported in the governmental funds to be available if the revenues are collected within sixty days after year-end. All revenues are considered to be susceptible to accrual. Expenditures are recorded when the related fund liability is incurred, except for principal and interest on long-term debt, claims and judgments, and compensated absences, which are recognized as expenditures to the extent they have matured. Capital asset acquisitions are reported as expenditures in governmental funds. Proceeds of long-term debt and acquisitions under capital leases are reported as other financing sources.

Under the terms of grant agreements, the Park District funds certain programs by a combination of specific costreimbursement grants and general revenues. Thus, when program expenses are incurred, there is both restricted and unrestricted net position available to finance the program. It is the Park District's policy to first apply cost-reimbursement grant resources to such programs, and then by general revenues.

D. Cash, Cash Equivalents and Investments

Cash and cash equivalents include amounts in demand deposits, money market accounts and highly liquid short-term investments, including certificates of deposit, with original maturities of 3 months or less.

Investments consist of certificates of deposit stated at cost.

E. Capital Assets

Capital assets, which include property, plant, infrastructure, and equipment, are reported in the governmental activities column in the government-wide financial statements. Capital assets are defined by the government as assets with an initial, individual cost of more than \$5,000. Donated capital assets are recorded at estimated fair market value at the date of donation. The costs of normal maintenance and repairs that do not add to the value of the asset or materially extend assets lives are not capitalized. Interest incurred during the construction phase of capital assets is not capitalized.

Capital assets are depreciated using the straight-line method over the following estimated useful lives:

| Assets | Years |
|--------------------|-------|
| Buildings | 15-30 |
| Equipment/Vehicles | 5-20 |
| Improvements | 10-30 |

F. Compensated Absences

Vacation leave is earned by Park District employees at the rate of four to sixteen hours per month depending on years of service. Up to 30 days of vacation leave may be carried over at each year-end. Sick leave benefits are earned by full-time employees at the rate of eight hours per month regardless of the years of service. Employees hired before January 1, 2004 can choose one of two irrevocable options for sick leave. The first option allows employees retiring from employment at age sixty-two or older to be paid 100% of accumulated sick leave, not to exceed 960 hours. In addition, any employee retiring from employment whose combined total years of continuous service equals twenty-five (25) years shall be paid 100% for accumulated sick leave, not to exceed nine hundred sixty (960) hours. Sick time will not accumulate after nine hundred sixty (960) hours. The second option allows employees to accumulate unlimited hours of sick leave. Sick time in excess of nine-hundred sixty (960) hours may not be carried over into the following year. Any hours in excess of nine-hundred sixty (960) hours will be paid annually at a rate of 50%. Upon separation of employment, any employee with ten or more years of continuous service shall be paid for 50% of accumulated sick leave at time of separation. Employees hired after January 1, 2004 are subject to the second option.

G. Long-Term Liabilities

In the government-wide financial statements, long-term debt and other long-term liabilities are reported as liabilities in the governmental activities statement of net position.

In the fund financial statements, governmental fund types recognize bond premiums, discounts and issuance costs in the current period. The face amount and premiums received on debt issuances are reported as other financing sources. while discounts on debt issuances are reported as other financing uses. Issuance costs are reported as debt service expenditures.

H. Pension

For purposes of measuring the net pension liability, deferred outflows of resources and deferred inflows of resources related to pensions, and pension expense, information about the fiduciary net position of the North Dakota Public Employees Retirement System (NDPERS) and additions to/deductions from NDPERS' fiduciary net position have been determined on the same basis as they are reported by NDPERS. For this purpose, benefit payments (including refunds of employee contributions) are recognized when due and payable in accordance with the benefit terms. Investments are reported at fair value.

I. Other Post-Employment Benefits (OPEB)

For purposes of measuring the net OPEB liability, deferred outflows of resources and deferred inflows of resources related to OPEB, and OPEB expense, information about the fiduciary net position of the North Dakota Public Employees Retirement System (NDPERS) and additions to/deductions from NDPERS' fiduciary net position have been determined on the same basis as they are reported by NDPERS. For this purpose, benefit payments are recognized when due and payable in accordance with the benefit terms. Investments are reported at fair value.

J. Net Position

When both restricted and unrestricted resources are available for use, it is the Park District's policy to use restricted resources first, then unrestricted resources as they are needed.

Net investment in capital assets is reported for capital assets less accumulated depreciation, and less any related debt to purchase/finance the construction of those capital assets. These assets are not available for future spending.

Restriction net position shown in the net position statement are due to restricted tax levies and restricted federal and state grants/reimbursements.

Unrestricted net position is the portion of net position that is not restricted or reported as net investment in capital assets.

J. Fund Balances

Minimum Fund Balance Policy. The Park District budget committee established a 15-20% general fund carryover balance target to help with financial stability. The 15-20% fund balance range is a part of the budget recommendation adopted by the Park District each fiscal year. This level provides sufficient unassigned resources to avoid short-term cash flow borrowing for the district.

Fund Balance Spending Policy. It is the policy of the Park District to spend restricted resources first, followed by unrestricted resources. It is also the policy of the Park District to spend unrestricted resources of funds in the following order: committed, assigned, unassigned.

Restricted Fund Balances. Restricted fund balances are shown by primary function on the balance sheet. Restricted fund balances are restricted by tax levies (enabling legislation) and by outside 3rd parties (state & federal governments for various grants & reimbursements).

Unassigned Fund Balances. Unassigned fund balances are reported in the general fund and for negative fund balances at year-end.

K. Interfund Transactions

In the governmental fund statements, transactions that constitute reimbursements to a fund for expenditures initially made from it that are properly applicable to another fund, are recorded as expenditures in the reimbursing fund and as reductions of expenditures in the fund that is reimbursed.

All other inter-fund transactions, except reimbursements, are reported as transfers.

In the government-wide financial statements, inter-fund transactions have been eliminated.

NOTE 2: PRIOR PERIOD ADJUSTMENTS

Net position as of January 1, 2017 has been restated for the implementation of GASB Statement No. 75, *Accounting and Financing Reporting for Postemployment Benefit Plans Other than Pensions*. The result of implementing GASB 75 reduced beginning net position of the Park District, which consists of the net OPEB liability related to the North Dakota Public Employees Retirement System (NDPERS).

There was also a prior period adjustment to restate the January 1, 2017 beginning net position to correct errors in special assessments payable.

The effect of the prior period adjustments to beginning net position is as follows:

| Beginning Net Position, as previously reported | \$ 13,399,808 |
|---|------------------|
| Adjustments to restate the January 1, 2017 Net Position | |
| Net OPEB Liability | (79,498) |
| Special Assessments Payable adjustment | (3,990,813) |
| Net Position January 1, 2017, as restated | \$ 9,329,497 |

NOTE 3: DEPOSITS AND INVESTMENTS

Credit Risk

Credit risk is the risk associated with the failure of a depository institution, such that in the event of a depository financial institution's failure, the Park District would not be able to recover the deposits or collateralized securities that in the possession of the outside parties. The Park District does not have a formal policy regarding deposits that limits the amount it may invest in any one issuer.

In accordance with North Dakota Statutes, deposits must either be deposited with the Bank of North Dakota or in other financial institution situated and doing business within the state. Deposits, other than with the Bank of North Dakota, must be fully insured or bonded. In lieu of a bond, a financial institution may provide a pledge of securities equal to 110% of the deposits not covered by insurance or bonds.

Authorized collateral includes bills, notes, or bonds issued by the United States government, its agencies or instrumentalities, all bonds and notes guaranteed by the United States government, federal land bank bonds, bonds, notes, warrants, certificates of indebtedness, insured certificates of deposit, shares of investment companies registered under the Investment Companies Act of 1940, and all other forms of securities issued by the State of North Dakota, its boards, agencies or instrumentalities or by any county, city, township, county district, park district, or other political subdivision of the state of North Dakota. Whether payable from special revenues or supported by the full faith and credit of the issuing body and bonds issued by another state of the United States or such other securities approved by the banking board.

At year ended December 31, 2017, the Park District's carrying amount of deposits was \$6,242,451 and the bank balances were \$6,451,693. Of the bank balances, \$3,464,709 was covered by Federal Depository Insurance. The remaining bank balances were collateralized with securities held by the pledging financial institution's agent in the government's name.

Interest Rate Risk

Interest rate risk is the risk that changes in interest rates of debt securities will adversely affect the fair value of an investment. The price of a debt security typically moves in the opposite direction of the change in interest rates. The Park District does not have a formal deposit policy that limits maturities as a means of managing exposure to potential fair value losses arising from increasing interest rates.

The Park District may invest idle funds as authorized in North Dakota Statutes, as follows:

- (a) Bonds, treasury bills and notes, or other securities that are a direct obligation insured or guaranteed by, the treasury of the United States, or its agencies, instrumentalities, or organizations created by an act of congress.
- (b) Securities sold under agreements to repurchase written by a financial institution in which the underlying securities for the agreement to repurchase are the type listed above.
- (c) Certificates of Deposit fully insured by the federal deposit insurance corporation.
- (d) Obligations of the state.

As of December 31, 2017, the Park District had \$162,000 in certificates of deposit.

NOTE 4: PROPERTY TAXES

Property taxes are levied as of January 1. The property taxes attach as an enforceable lien on property on January 1. The tax levy may be paid in two installments: the first installment includes one-half of the real estate taxes and all the special assessments; the second installment is the balance of the real estate taxes. The first installment is due by March 1 and the second installment is due by October 15. A 5% discount is allowed if all taxes are paid by February 15. After the due dates, the bill becomes delinquent and penalties are assessed.

NOTE 5: CAPITAL ASSETS

| | Balance | | | | Balance |
|---|------------------|-----------------|----|-----------|------------------|
| | Jan 1 | Increases | 0 | Decreases | Dec 31 |
| Capital Assets Not Being Depreciated | | | | | |
| Land | \$ 6,326,806 | \$ - | \$ | - | \$ 6,326,806 |
| Construction in Progress | - | 797,678 | | - | 797,678 |
| Total Capital Assest not being depreciated | \$ 6,326,806 | \$ 797,678 | \$ | - | \$ 7,124,484 |
| Capital Assets, Being Depreciated | | | | | |
| Buildings | \$ 16,420,656 | \$ - | \$ | - | \$ 16,420,656 |
| Equipment/Vehicles | 2,184,865 | 36,531 | | - | 2,221,396 |
| Improvements | 11,536,102 | 611,102 | | - | 12,147,204 |
| Total Capital Assets, Being Depreciated | \$ 30,141,623 | \$ 647,633 | \$ | - | \$ 30,789,256 |
| Less Accumulated Depreciation | | | | | |
| Buildings | \$ 4,113,014 | \$ 533,888 | \$ | - | \$ 4,646,902 |
| Equipment/Vehicles | 1,499,632 | 127,832 | | - | 1,627,464 |
| Improvements | 1,726,670 | 414,715 | | - | 2,141,385 |
| Total Accumulated Depreciation | \$ 7,339,316 | \$ 1,076,435 | \$ | - | \$ 8,415,751 |
| Total Capital Assets Being Depreciated, Net | \$ 22,802,307 | \$ (428,802) | \$ | - | \$ 22,373,505 |
| Capital Assets, Net | \$ 29,129,113 | \$ 368,876 | \$ | - | \$ 29,497,989 |

The following is a summary of changes in capital assets for the year ended December 31, 2017 for the primary government:

Depreciation expense was charged to the recreation function.

NOTE 6: LONG-TERM LIABILITIES

During the year ended December 31, 2017; the following changes occurred in long-term liabilities for the primary government:

| | Bal. Jan 1 | | Bal. Jan 1 | | | | Balance | D | ue Within | |
|--------------------------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|-----------|-----------|---------|------------|-----------|-----------|
| | | Restated | | ncreases | Decreases | | Dec 31 | | 0 | One Year |
| Long-Term Debt | | | | | | | | | | |
| Revenue Bonds | \$ | 10,130,000 | \$ | 2,465,000 | \$ | 500,000 | \$ | 12,095,000 | \$ | 845,000 |
| G.O. Bonds | | 1,910,000 | | 6,995,000 | | 500,000 | | 8,405,000 | | 1,005,000 |
| Special Assmt. Bonds | | 3,682,039 | | 410,000 | | 255,068 | | 3,836,971 | | 273,666 |
| Leases Payable | | 315,000 | | - | | 75,000 | | 240,000 | | 75,000 |
| Special Assessments Payable | | 10,118,169 | | 1,380,486 | | 6,776,850 | | 4,721,805 | | 233,977 |
| Bond Premium | | - | | 125,407 | | 5,908 | | 119,499 | | 5,908 |
| Total Long-Term Debt | \$ | 26,155,208 | \$ | 11,375,893 | \$ | 8,112,826 | \$ | 29,418,275 | \$ | 2,438,551 |
| Compensated Absences * | \$ | 175,508 | \$ | - | \$ | 3,581 | \$ | 171,927 | \$ | 34,385 |
| Net Pension and OPEB Liability | | 985,913 | | 798,757 | | - | | 1,784,670 | | - |
| Total Primary Government | \$ | 27,316,629 | \$ | 12,174,650 | \$ | 8,116,407 | \$ | 31,374,872 | \$ | 2,472,936 |

* The changes in compensated absences is the net change for the year.

Notes to the Financial Statements – Continued

| Year | Reve | | G.O. Bonds | | • | Special Assmt. Bonds | | es Special Assmt. le Payable | | |
|-------------|--------------|-------------|---------------|-------------|-------------|-------------------------|-----------|---------------------------------|-------------|-----------|
| Ending | Bor | | - | | - | | Payable | , | 1 | Bond |
| Dec 31 | Principal | Interest | Principal | Interest | Principal | Interest | Principal | Principal | Interest | Premium |
| 2018 | \$ 820,000 | \$ 426,360 | \$1,005,000 | \$ 236,339 | \$ 273,666 | \$ 111,936 | \$ 75,000 | \$ 233,977 | \$ 204,926 | \$ 5,908 |
| 2019 | 415,000 | 410,085 | 905,000 | 176,888 | 250,293 | 106,263 | 75,000 | 230,571 | 193,807 | 5,908 |
| 2020 | 430,000 | 395,760 | 840,000 | 158,958 | 245,951 | 100,784 | 75,000 | 230,571 | 170,791 | 5,908 |
| 2021 | 445,000 | 380,360 | 455,000 | 144,935 | 246,642 | 94,623 | 15,000 | 230,571 | 161,442 | 5,908 |
| 2022 | 455,000 | 364,912 | 440,000 | 135,243 | 247,366 | 87,751 | - | 230,450 | 152,093 | 5,908 |
| 2023 - 2027 | 2,450,000 | 1,575,721 | 1,765,000 | 559,462 | 1,118,052 | 337,343 | - | 1,138,885 | 621,612 | 29,539 |
| 2028 - 2032 | 2,820,000 | 1,102,637 | 1,590,000 | 339,263 | 1,100,000 | 165,525 | - | 976,934 | 400,591 | 29,539 |
| 2033 - 2037 | 2,500,000 | 564,161 | 1,405,000 | 110,593 | 355,001 | 26,898 | - | 886,909 | 218,823 | 25,081 |
| 2038 - 2042 | 1,665,000 | 128,859 | - | - | - | - | - | 555,825 | 62,307 | 5,800 |
| 2043 - 2047 | 95,000 | 1,605 | - | - | - | - | - | 7,112 | 765 | - |
| Total | \$12,095,000 | \$5,350,460 | \$8,405,000 | \$1,861,681 | \$3,836,971 | \$1,031,123 | \$240,000 | \$4,721,805 | \$2,187,157 | \$119,499 |

NOTE 7: RISK MANAGEMENT

The Park District is exposed to various risks of loss relating to torts; theft of, damage to, and destruction of assets; errors and omissions; injuries to employees; and natural disasters.

In 1986, state agencies and political subdivisions of the state of North Dakota joined together to form the North Dakota Insurance Reserve Fund (NDIRF), a public entity risk pool currently operating as a common risk management and insurance program for the state and over 2,000 political subdivisions. The Park District pays an annual premium to NDIRF for its general liability, automobile, and inland marine insurance coverage. The coverage by NDIRF is limited to losses of two million dollars per occurrence for general liability and two million automobile liability and \$1,249,462 for public asset coverage.

The Park District also participates in the North Dakota Fire and Tornado Fund and the State Bonding Fund. The Park District pays an annual premium to the Fire and Tornado Fund to cover property damage to buildings and personal property. Replacement cost coverage is provided by estimating replacement cost in consultation with the Fire and Tornado Fund. The Fire and Tornado Fund is reinsured by a third party insurance carrier for losses in excess of \$1,000,000 dollars per occurrence during a 12-month period. The State Bonding Fund currently provides the Park District with blanket fidelity bond coverage in the amount of \$1,850,000 for its employees. The State Bonding Fund does not currently charge any premium for this coverage.

The Park District has workers compensation with the Workforce, Safety and Insurance. The Park District pays 75% of the insurance premium for single, single plus dependent, or family policy for full time employees.

Settled claims resulting from these risks have not exceeded insurance coverage in any of the past three years.

NOTE 8: TRANSFERS

The following is a listing of transfers in and transfers out as reported in the basic financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2017:

| | Т | ransfers In | Tr | ansfers Out |
|--------------------------|----|-------------|----|-------------|
| Major Funds | | | | |
| General Fund | \$ | - | \$ | 400,364 |
| Capital Improvement Fund | | 789,931 | | 239,573 |
| Debt Service Fund | | 459,642 | | 750,000 |
| Non-Major Funds | | | | |
| Special Revenue Fund | | 210,269 | | 69,905 |
| Total Transfers | \$ | 1,459,842 | \$ | 1,459,842 |

Transfers are used to move unrestricted general fund revenues to finance various programs.

NOTE 9: PENSION PLANS

General Information about the Pension Plan

North Dakota Public Employees Retirement System (Main System)

The following brief description of NDPERS is provided for general information purposes only. Participants should refer to NDCC Chapter 54-52 for more complete information.

NDPERS is a cost-sharing multiple-employer defined benefit pension plan that covers substantially all employees of the State of North Dakota, its agencies and various participating political subdivisions. NDPERS provides for pension, death and disability benefits. The cost to administer the plan is financed through the contributions and investment earnings of the plan.

Responsibility for administration of the NDPERS defined benefit pension plan is assigned to a Board comprised of nine members. The Board consists of a Chairman, who is appointed by the Governor; one member appointed by the Attorney General; one member appointed by the State Health Officer; three members elected by the active membership of the NDPERS system, one member elected by the retired public employees and two members of the legislative assembly appointed by the chairman of the legislative management.

Pension Benefits

Benefits are set by statute. NDPERS has no provisions or policies with respect to automatic and ad hoc post-retirement benefit increases. Member of the Main System are entitled to unreduced monthly pension benefits beginning when the sum of age and years of credited service equal or exceed 85 (Rule of 85), or at normal retirement age (65). For members hired on or after January 1, 2016 the Rule of 85 will be replaced with the Rule of 90 with a minimum age of 60. The monthly pension benefit is equal to 2.00% of their average monthly salary, using the highest 36 months out of the last 180 months of service, for each year of service. The plan permits early retirement at ages 55-64 with three or more years of service.

Members may elect to receive the pension benefits in the form of a single life, joint and survivor, term-certain annuity, or partial lump sum with ongoing annuity. Members may elect to receive the value of their accumulated contributions, plus interest, as a lump sum distribution upon retirement or termination, or they may elect to receive their benefits in the form of an annuity. For each member electing an annuity, total payment will not be less than the members' accumulated contributions plus interest.

Death and Disability Benefits

Death and disability benefits are set by statute. If an active member dies with less than three years of service for the Main System, a death benefit equal to the value of the member's accumulated contributions, plus interest, is paid to the member's beneficiary. If the member has earned more than three years of credited service for the Main System, the surviving spouse will be entitled to a single payment refund, life-time monthly payments in an amount equal to 50% of the member's accrued normal retirement benefit, or monthly payments in an amount equal to the member's accrued 100% Joint and Survivor retirement benefit if the member had reached normal retirement age prior to date of death. If the surviving spouse dies before the member's accumulated pension benefits are paid, the balance will be payable to the surviving spouse's designated beneficiary.

Eligible members who become totally disabled after a minimum of 180 days of service, receive monthly disability benefits equal to 25% of their final average salary with a minimum benefit of \$100. To qualify under this section, the member has to become disabled during the period of eligible employment and apply for benefits within one year of termination. The definition for disabled is set by the NDPERS in the North Dakota Administrative Code.

Refunds of Member Account Balance

Upon termination, if a member of the Main System is not vested (is not 65 or does not have three years of service), they will receive the accumulated member contributions and vested employer contributions, plus interest, or may elect to receive this amount at a later date. If the member has vested, they have the option of applying for a refund or can remain as a terminated vested participant. If a member terminated and withdrew their accumulated member contribution and is subsequently reemployed, they have the option of repurchasing their previous service.

Member and Employer Contributions

Member and employer contributions paid to NDPERS are set by statute and are established as a percent of salaries and wages. Member contribution rates are 7% and employer contribution rates are 7.12% of covered compensation.

The member's account balance includes the vested employer contributions equal to the member's contributions to an eligible deferred compensation plan. The minimum member contribution is \$25 and the maximum may not exceed the following:

| 1 to 12 months of service | Greater of one percent of monthly salary or \$25 |
|----------------------------------|--|
| 13 to 24 months of service | Greater of two percent of monthly salary or \$25 |
| 25 to 36 months of service | Greater of three percent of monthly salary or \$25 |
| Longer than 36 months of service | Greater of four percent of monthly salary or \$25 |

Pension Liabilities, Pension Expense, and Deferred Outflows of Resources and Deferred Inflows of Resources Related to Pensions

At December 31, 2017, the Park District reported a liability of \$1,705,472 for its proportionate share of net pension liability. The net pension liability was measured as of June 30, 2017, and the total pension liability used to calculate the net pension liability was determined by an actuarial valuation as of that date. The district's proportion of the net pension liability was based on the district's share of covered payroll in the main system pension plan relative to the covered payroll of all participating Main System employers. At June 30, 2017, the Park District's proportion was .106106 percent, which was an increase of .013102 percent from its proportion measured as of June 30, 2016.

For the year ended December 31, 2017, the Park District recognized pension expense of \$274,230. At December 31, 2017, the Park District reported deferred outflows of resources and deferred inflows of resources related to pensions from the following sources:

| | Def | erred Outflows | Defe | rred Inflows |
|---|-----|----------------|------|--------------|
| | 0 | of Resources | of | Resources |
| Differences Between Expected and Actual Experience | \$ | 10,137 | \$ | 8,309 |
| Changes of Assumptions | | 699,358 | | 38,466 |
| Net Difference Between Projected and Actual Investment | | | | |
| Earnings on Pension Plan Investments | | 22,937 | | - |
| Changes in Proportion and Differences Between Employer | | | | |
| Contributions and Proportionate Share of Contributions | | 88,368 | | 17,570 |
| Employer Contributions Subsequent to the Measurement Date | | 72,291 | | - |
| Total | \$ | 893,091 | \$ | 64,345 |

\$72,291 reported as deferred outflows of resources related to pensions resulting from contributions subsequent to the measurement date will be recognized as a reduction of the net pension liability in the year ended December 31, 2018.

Other amounts reported as deferred outflows of resources and deferred inflows of resources related to pensions will be recognized in pension expense as follows.

| 2018 | \$ 164,754 |
|------|---------------|
| 2019 | 196,226 |
| 2020 | 172,331 |
| 2021 | 143,319 |
| 2022 | 79,825 |

Actuarial assumptions

The total pension liability in the July 1, 2017 actuarial valuation was determined using the following actuarial assumptions, applied to all periods included in the measurement:

| Inflation | 3.50% | | | | |
|----------------------------|---|----------------|--|--|--|
| Salary increases | Service at Beginning of year: | Increase Rate: | | | |
| | 0 | 15.00% | | | |
| | 1 | 10.00% | | | |
| | 2 | 8.00% | | | |
| | Age* | | | | |
| | Under 36 | 8.00% | | | |
| | 36 - 40 | 7.50% | | | |
| | 41 – 49 | 6.00% | | | |
| | 50+ | 5.00% | | | |
| | * Age-based salary increase rates apply for | | | | |
| | employees with three or more years of service | | | | |
| Investment rate of return | 7.75%, net of investment expenses | | | | |
| Cost-of-living adjustments | None | | | | |

For active members, inactive members and healthy retirees, mortality rates were based on the RP-2000 Combined Healthy Mortality Table set back two years for males and three years for females, projected generationally using the SSA 2014 Intermediate Cost scale from 2014. For disabled retirees, mortality rates were based on the RP-2000 Disabled Mortality Table set back one year for males (no setback for females) multiplied by 125%.

The long-term expected rate of return on pension plan investments was determined using a building-block method in which best-estimate ranges of expected future real rates of return (expected returns, net of pension plan investment expense and inflation) are developed for each major asset class. These ranges are combined to produce the long-term expected rate of return by weighting the expected future real rates of return by the target asset allocation percentage and by adding expected inflation. Best estimates of arithmetic real rates of return for each major asset class included in the Fund's target asset allocation are summarized in the following table:

| Asset Class | Target Allocation | Long-Term Expected Real Rate of Return |
|----------------------------|----------------------|--|
| Domestic Equity | 31% | 6.90% |
| International Equity | 21% | 7.55% |
| Private Equity | 5% | 11.30% |
| Domestic Fixed Income | 17% | 1.52% |
| International Fixed Income | 5% | -0.45% |
| Global Real Assets | 20% | 5.38% |
| Cash Equivalents | 1% | 0.00% |

Discount rate

For PERS, GASB Statement No. 67 includes a specific requirement for the discount rate that is used for the purpose of the measurement of the Total Pension Liability. This rate considers the ability of the System to meet benefit obligations in the future. To make this determination, employer contributions, employee contributions, benefit payments, expenses and investment returns are projected into the future. The current employer and employee fixed rate contributions are assumed to be made in each future year. The Plan Net Position (assets) in future years can then be determined and compared to its obligation to make benefit payments in those years. In years where assets are not projected to be sufficient to meet benefit payments, which is the case for the PERS plan, the use of a municipal bond rate is required.

The Single Discount Rate (SDR) is equivalent to applying these two rates to the benefits that are projected to be paid during the different time periods. The SDR reflects (1) the long-term expected rate of return on pension plan investments (during the period in which the fiduciary net position is projected to be sufficient to pay benefits) and (2) a tax-exempt municipal bond rate based on an index of 20-year general obligation bonds with an average AA credit rating as of the measurement date (to the extent that the contributions for use with the long-term expected rate of return are not met).

The pension plan's fiduciary net position was projected to be sufficient to make all projected future benefit payments through the year of 2061. Therefore, the long-term expected rate of return on pension plan investments was applied to projected benefit payments through the year 2061, and the municipal bond rate was applied to all benefit payments after that date. For the purpose of this valuation, the expected rate of return on pension plan investments is 7.75%; the municipal bond rate is 3.56%; and the resulting Single Discount Rate is 6.44%.

Sensitivity of the Employer's proportionate share of the net pension liability to changes in the discount rate

The following presents the Park District's proportionate share of the net pension liability calculated using the discount rate of 6.44 percent, as well as what their proportionate share of the net pension liability would be if it were calculated using a discount rate that is 1-percentage-point lower (5.44 percent) or 1-percentage-point higher (7.44 percent) than the current rate:

| | Decre | 1% ase (5.44%) | Current Discount ate (6.44%) | Incr | 1% ease (7.44%) |
|------------------------------|-------|-------------------|------------------------------------|------|--------------------|
| Proportionate Share | | | | | |
| of the Net Pension Liability | \$ | 2,315,230 | \$ 1,705,472 | \$ | 1,198,178 |

Pension plan fiduciary net position

Detailed information about the pension plan's fiduciary net position is available in the separately issued NDPERS financial report.

NOTE 10: OTHER POST EMPLOYMENT BENEFITS (OPEB)

General Information about the OPEB Plan

North Dakota Public Employees Retirement System

The following brief description of NDPERS is provided for general information purposes only. Participants should refer to NDAC Chapter 71-06 for more complete information.

NDPERS OPEB plan is a cost-sharing multiple-employer defined benefit OPEB plan that covers members receiving retirement benefits from the PERS, the HPRS, and Judges retired under Chapter 27-17 of the North Dakota Century Code a credit toward their monthly health insurance premium under the state health plan based upon the member's years of credited service. Effective July 1, 2015, the credit is also available to apply towards monthly premiums under the state dental, vision and long-term care plan and any other health insurance plan. The Retiree Health Insurance Credit Fund is advance-funded on an actuarially determined basis.

Responsibility for administration of the NDPERS defined benefit OPEB plan is assigned to a Board comprised of nine members. The Board consists of a Chairman, who is appointed by the Governor; one member appointed by the Attorney General; one member appointed by the State Health Officer; three members elected by the active membership of the NDPERS system, one member elected by the retired public employees and two members of the legislative assembly appointed by the chairman of the legislative management.

OPEB Benefits

The employer contribution for the PERS, the HPRS and the Defined Contribution Plan is set by statute at 1.14% of covered compensation. The employer contribution for employees of the state board of career and technical education is 2.99% of covered compensation for a period of eight years ending October 1, 2015. Employees participating in the retirement plan as part-time/temporary members are required to contribute 1.14% of their covered compensation to the Retiree Health Insurance Credit Fund. Employees purchasing previous service credit are also required to make an employee contribution to the Fund. The benefit amount applied each year is shown as "prefunded credit applied" on the Statement of Changes in Plan Net Position for the OPEB trust funds.

Retiree health insurance credit benefits and death and disability benefits are set by statute. There are no provisions or policies with respect to automatic and ad hoc post-retirement benefit increases. Employees who are receiving monthly retirement benefits from the PERS, the HPRS, the Defined Contribution Plan, the Chapter 27-17 judges or an employee receiving disability benefits, or the spouse of a deceased annuitant receiving a surviving spouse benefit or if the member selected a joint and survivor option are eligible to receive a credit toward their monthly health insurance premium under the state health plan.

Effective July 1, 2015, the credit is also available to apply towards monthly premiums under the state dental, vision and long-term care plan and any other health insurance plan. The benefits are equal to \$5.00 for each of the employee's, or deceased employee's years of credited service not to exceed the premium in effect for selected coverage. The retiree health insurance credit is also available for early retirement with reduced benefits.

OPEB Liabilities, OPEB Expense, and Deferred Outflows of Resources and Deferred Inflows of Resources Related to OPEB

At December 31, 2017, the Park District reported a liability of \$79,198, for their proportionate shares of the net OPEB liability. The net OPEB liability was measured as of June 30, 2017, and the total OPEB liability used to calculate the net OPEB liability was determined by an actuarial valuation as of that date. The Park District's proportion of the net OPEB liability was based on their share of covered payroll in the OPEB plan relative to the covered payroll of all participating OPEB employers. At June 30, 2017, the Park District's proportion was .100123 percent

For the year ended December 31, 2017 the Park District recognized OPEB expense of \$9,460. At December 31, 2017, the Park District reported deferred outflows of resources and deferred inflows of resources related to OPEB from the following sources:

| | Deferred Outflows of Resources | Deferred Inflows of Resources |
|---|-----------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| Differences Between Expected and Actual Experience | \$- | \$ 1,932 |
| Changes of Assumptions | 7,671 | - |
| Net Difference Between Projected and Actual Investment | | |
| Earnings on OPEB Plan Investments | - | 2,994 |
| Changes in Proportion and Differences Between Employer | | |
| Contributions and Proportionate Share of Contributions | - | 547 |
| Employer Contributions Subsequent to the Measurement Date | 11,575 | - |
| Total | \$ 19,246 | \$ 5,473 |

\$11,575 reported as deferred outflows of resources related to OPEB resulting from Employer contributions subsequent to the measurement date will be recognized as a reduction of the net OPEB liability in the year ended December 31, 2018.

Other amounts reported as deferred outflows of resources and deferred inflows of resources related to OPEBs will be recognized in OPEB expense as follows:

| 2018 | \$ 64 |
|------------|----------|
| 2019 | 64 |
| 2020 | 64 |
| 2021 | 64 |
| 2022 | 812 |
| 2023 | 812 |
| Thereafter | 318 |

Actuarial assumptions

The total OPEB liability in the July 1, 2017 actuarial valuation was determined using the following actuarial assumptions, applied to all periods included in the measurement:

| Inflation | 2.50% |
|----------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Salary Increases | Not applicable |
| Investment rate or return | 7.50%, net of investment expenses |
| Cost of living adjustments | None |

For active members, inactive members and healthy retirees, mortality rates were based on the RP-2000 Combined Healthy Mortality Table set back two years for males and three years for females, projected generationally using the SSA 2014 Intermediate Cost scale from 2014. For disabled retirees, mortality rates were based on the RP-2000 Disabled Mortality Table set back one year for males (no setback for females) multiplied by 125%.

The long-term expected investment rate of return assumption for the RHIC fund was determined using a building-block method in which best-estimate ranges of expected future real rates of re-turn (expected returns, net of RHIC investment expense and inflation) are developed for each major asset class. These ranges are combined to produce the long-term expected rate of return by weighting the expected future real rates of return by the target asset allocation percentage and by adding expected inflation. Estimates of arithmetic real rates of return, for each major as-set class included in the RHIC's target asset allocation as of July 1, 2017 are summarized in the following table:

| Asset Class | Target Allocation | Long-Term Expected Real Rate of Return |
|-----------------------------|-------------------|---|
| Large Cap Domestic Equities | 37% | 5.80% |
| Small Cap Domestic Equities | 9% | 7.05% |
| International Equities | 14% | 6.20% |
| Core-Plus Fixed Income | 40% | 1.56% |

Discount rate

The discount rate used to measure the total OPEB liability was 7.75%. The projection of cash flows used to determine the discount rate assumed plan member and statutory/Board approved employer contributions will be made at rates equal to those based on the July 1, 2017, and July 1, 2016, HPRS actuarial valuation reports. For this purpose, only employer contributions that are intended to fund benefits of current RHIC members and their beneficiaries are included. Projected employer contributions that are intended to fund the service costs of future plan members and their beneficiaries are not included. Based on those assumptions, the RHIC fiduciary net position was projected to be sufficient to make all projected future benefit payments of current plan members. Therefore, the long-term expected rate of return on RHIC investments was applied to all periods of projected benefit payments to determine the total OPEB liability.

Sensitivity of the Employer's proportionate share of the net OPEB liability to changes in the discount rate

The following presents the net OPEB liability of the Plans as of June 30, 2017, calculated using the discount rate of 7.50%, as well as what the RHIC net OPEB liability would be if it were calculated using a discount rate that is 1-percentage-point lower (6.5 percent) or 1-percentage-point higher (8.5 percent) than the current rate:

| | | | Cu | irrent | | |
|---------------------------|----------|---------|------|---------|----------|---------|
| | 1% | | Dis | count | 1% | |
| | Decrease | (6.50%) | Rate | (7.50%) | Increase | (8.50%) |
| Proportionate Share | | | | | | |
| of the Net OPEB Liability | \$ | 99,147 | \$ | 79,198 | \$ | 62,099 |

NOTE 11: TAX ABATEMENTS

A tax abatement is defined as a reduction in tax revenues that results from an agreement between one or more governments and an individual or entity in which (a) one or more governments promise to forgo tax revenues to which they are otherwise entitled and (b) the individual or entity promises to tax a specific action after the agreement has been entered into that contributes to economic development or otherwise benefits the governments or the citizens of those governments.

At December 31, 2017, the Park District provided tax abatements to individuals and commercial entities in the form of property tax exemptions under the following criteria.

New and Expanding Business

Under NDCC §40-57.1-03, a municipality may grant a partial or complete exemption from ad valorem taxation on all buildings, structures, fixtures, and improvements used in or necessary to the operation of a project for a period not exceeding five years from the date of commencement of project operations. A municipality may also grant a partial or complete exemption from ad valorem taxation on buildings, structures, fixtures, and improvements used in or necessary to the operation of a project that produces or manufactures a product from agricultural commodities for all or part of the sixth year through the tenth year from the date of commencement of project operations.

Single Family Residence

Under NDCC §57-02-08(35), up to one hundred fifty thousand dollars of the true and full value of all new single-family and condominium and townhouse residential property, exclusive of the land on which it is situated, is exempt from taxation for the first two taxable years after the taxable year in which construction is completed and the residence is owned and occupied for the first time.

The total reduction in property tax revenue due to tax abatements is as follows:

| Program | Pro | duction in operty Tax Revenue |
|---|-----|-------------------------------------|
| New and Expanding Business | \$ | 17,553 |
| Single Family Residence | | 104,723 |
| Total Reduction in Property Tax Revenue | \$ | 122,276 |

NOTE 12: SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

Subsequent to December 31, 2017, the Park District issued lease revenue bonds in the amount of \$6,125,000 for the expansion of the Rustad Recreation Center. Future bond principal payments are due annually and range from \$180,000 to \$390,000, with interest between 3.75% to 4.25%. The bonds mature in FY2043.

NOTE 13: COMMITMENTS

| | Contract | Total | | Total | Contract |
|--------------------------------|--------------|---------------------|-----------|--------------|--------------|
| Project | Amount | Completed Retainage | | Balance | Remaining |
| Rustad Center | | | | | |
| Meinecke-Johnson | \$ 5,409,063 | \$- | \$- | \$ 5,409,063 | \$ 5,409,063 |
| Scott's Electric | 879,900 | - | - | 879,900 | 879,900 |
| Peterson Mechanical | 710,240 | - | - | 710,240 | 710,240 |
| Keifer Specialty Flooring | 188,691 | - | - | 188,691 | 188,691 |
| Zerr Berg Architechts | 472,209 | 105,300 | - | 366,909 | 366,909 |
| Contingency/Misc. | 234,519 | 59,631 | - | 174,888 | 174,888 |
| Total Rustad Center | 7,894,622 | 164,931 | - | 7,729,691 | 7,729,691 |
| Young Grandstand | | | | | |
| Naseth Construction | 272,769 | 178,904 | 17,890 | 111,755 | 111,755 |
| Peterson Mechanical | 36,183 | 19,500 | 1,950 | 18,633 | 18,633 |
| Grant's Mechanical | 28,797 | 15,089 | 1,509 | 15,217 | 15,217 |
| Seating Athletic Facility | 371,315 | 365,040 | 18,252 | 24,527 | 24,527 |
| Zerr Berg Architechts | 53,230 | 49,253 | - | 3,977 | 3,977 |
| Contingency | 11,765 | - | - | 11,765 | 11,765 |
| Total Young Grandstand | 774,059 | 627,786 | 39,601 | 185,874 | 185,874 |
| Total Construction in Progress | \$ 8,668,681 | \$ 792,717 | \$ 39,601 | \$ 7,915,565 | \$ 7,915,565 |

The West Fargo Park District had the following commitments for construction contracts/projects in progress at year-end with various contractors as follows:

Retainages payable of \$39,601 is reported in the government-wide statements for the projects as noted above.

Budgetary Comparison Schedule - General Fund For the Year Ended December 31, 2017

| | Original Budget | Final Budget | Actual Amounts | riance with |
|---|--|--|--|--|
| REVENUES Taxes Charges for Services Intergovernmental Miscellaneous | \$ 2,034,500 596,000 600,000 150,000 | \$ 2,034,500 596,000 600,000 150,000 | \$ 1,986,914 939,159 660,238 4,174 | \$ (47,586) 343,159 60,238 (145,826) |
| Total Revenues | \$ 3,380,500 | \$ 3,380,500 | \$ 3,590,485 | \$ 209,985 |
| EXPENDITURES Current General Government Recreation Debt Service | \$ 2,293,000 982,000 | \$ 2,293,000 982,000 | \$ 2,121,887 968,691 | \$ 171,113 13,309 |
| Principal | 75,000 | 75,000 | 75,000 | |
| Total Expenditures | \$ 3,350,000 | \$ 3,350,000 | \$ 3,165,578 | \$ 184,422 |
| Excess (Deficiency) of Revenues Over Expenditures | \$ 30,500 | \$ 30,500 | \$ 424,907 | \$ 394,407 |
| OTHER FINANCING SOURCES (USES) Transfers Out | \$ | \$ (187,946) | \$ (400,364) | \$ (212,418) |
| Net Change in Fund Balances | \$ 30,500 | \$ (157,446) | \$ 24,543 | \$ 181,989 |
| Fund Balances - January 1 | \$ 733,964 | \$ 733,964 | \$ 733,964 | \$ |
| Fund Balances - December 31 | \$ 764,464 | \$ 576,518 | \$ 758,507 | \$ 181,989 |

The notes to the required supplementary information are an integral part of this statement.

Schedule of Employer's Share of Net Pension Liability and Employer Contributions For the Year Ended December 31, 2017

Schedule of Employer's Share of Net Pension Liability ND Public Employee's Retirement System Last 10 Fiscal Years

| | 2017 | 2016 | 2015 | 2014 |
|--|--------------|------------|------------|------------|
| Park District's proportion of the net pension | | | | |
| liability (asset) | 0.106106% | 0.093004% | 0.096366% | 0.093924% |
| Park District's proportionate share of the net | | | | |
| pension liability (asset) | \$ 1,705,472 | \$ 906,415 | \$ 655,272 | \$ 596,156 |
| Park District's covered-employee payroll | \$ 1,083,177 | \$ 937,258 | \$ 858,506 | \$ 791,187 |
| Park District's proportionate share of the net | | | | |
| pension liability (asset) as a percentage of its | | | | |
| covered-employee payroll | 157.45% | 96.71% | 76.33% | 75.35% |
| Plan fiduciary net position as a percentage of | | | | |
| the total pension liability | 61.98% | 70.46% | 77.15% | 77.70% |

Schedule of Employer Contributions ND Public Employees Retirement System Last 10 Fiscal Years

| | 2017 | 2016 | 2015 | 2014 |
|--|-----------------|-----------------|---------------|----------------|
| Statutorily required contribution | \$ 78,543 | \$ 67,856 | \$ 65,210 | \$ 61,226 |
| Contributions in relation to the statutorily | | | | |
| required contribution | \$ 74,683 | \$ 65,891 | \$ 60,499 | \$ (61,226) |
| Contribution deficiency (excess) | \$ 3,860 | \$ 1,965 | \$ 4,711 | \$ - |
| Park District's covered-employee payroll | \$ 1,146,136 | \$ 1,053,400 | \$ 918,131 | \$ 859,911 |
| Contributions as a percentage of covered- | | | | |
| employee payroll | 6.52% | 6.26% | 6.59% | 7.12% |

The notes to the required supplementary information are an integral part of this statement.

Schedule of Employer's Share of Net OPEB Liability and Employer Contributions For the Year Ended December 31, 2017

Schedule of Employer's Share of Net OPEB Liability ND Public Employees Retirement System Last 10 Fiscal Years

| | 2017 |
|--|-----------------|
| Park District's proportion of the net OPEB | |
| liability (asset) | 0.100123% |
| Park District's proportionate share of the net | |
| OPEB liability (asset) | \$ 79,198 |
| Park District's covered-employee payroll | \$ 1,083,177 |
| Park District's proportionate share of the net | |
| OPEB liability (asset) as a percentage of its | |
| covered-employee payroll | 7.31% |
| Plan fiduciary net position as a percentage of | |
| the total OPEB liability | 59.78% |

Schedule of Employer Contributions ND Public Employees Retirement System Last 10 Fiscal Years

| | 2017 |
|---|-----------------|
| Statutory required contribution | \$ 12,591 |
| Contributions in relation to the statutory required | |
| contribution | \$ 11,958 |
| Contribution deficiency (excess) | \$ 633 |
| Park District's covered-employee payroll | \$ 1,146,136 |
| Contributions as a percentage of covered- | |
| employee payroll | 1.04% |

The notes to the required supplementary information are an integral part of this statement.

NOTE 1: STEWARDSHIP, COMPLIANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY

Budgetary Information

- The Park District adopts an appropriated budget on a basis consistent with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States (GAAP).
- The business manager prepares an annual budget for the general fund, debt service fund, capital projects fund, and each special revenue fund of the Park District. NDCC 11-23-02. The budget includes proposed expenditures and means of financing them.
- The Park District shall meet and hear any and all protests or objections to the items or amounts set forth in the preliminary budget. At the hearing, the Park District shall make any changes in the items or amounts shown in the preliminary budget. The final budget is prepared which includes a summary of the amounts levied for each fund and the total amount levied.
- After the budget hearing and on or before October 7th, the Park District adopts the final budget. The final budget must be filed with the county auditor by October 10th.
- Each budget is controlled by the Park District business manager at the revenue and expenditure function/object level.
- The current budget, except for property taxes, may be amended during the year for any revenues and appropriations not anticipated at the time the budget was prepared. NDCC 57-15-31.1
- All appropriations lapse at year-end.

NOTE 2: SCHEDULE OF EMPLOYER PENSION AND OPEB LIABILITY AND CONTRIBUTIONS

GASB Statements No. 68 and 75 require ten years of information to be presented in these tables. However, until a full 10-year trend is compiled, the Park District will present information for those years for which information is available.

NOTE 3: CHANGES OF ASSUMPTIONS

Amounts reported in 2017 reflect actuarial assumption changes effective July 1, 2017 based on the results of an actuarial experience study completed in 2017. This includes changes to the mortality tables, disability incidence rates, retirement rates, administrative expenses, salary scale, and percent married assumption.

Notes to the Required Supplementary Information - Continued

NOTE 4: LEGAL COMPLIANCE – BUDGETS

Budget Amendments

The board of commissioners amended the Park District budget for 2017 as follows:

| | EXPENDITURES/TRANSFERS OUT | | | | | | | |
|----------------------|----------------------------|----|----------|---------|-----------|--|--|--|
| | Original | | | Amended | | | | |
| | Budget | Am | nendment | | Budget | | | |
| Major Funds | | | | | | | | |
| General Fund | \$ 3,350,000 | \$ | 187,946 | \$ | 3,537,946 | | | |
| Capital Projects | 1,836,000 | | 448,639 | | 2,284,639 | | | |
| Debt Service | 1,916,100 | | 486,142 | | 2,402,242 | | | |
| Non-Major Fund | | | | | | | | |
| Special Revenue Fund | 51,000 | | 699,421 | | 750,421 | | | |

Schedule of Fund Activity - Cash Basis For the Year Ended December 31, 2017

| Major funds General Fund \$< | | Balance Jan 1 | Receipts | Transfers In | Other Fin. Sources | Transfers Out | Disbursements | Balance Dec 31 |
|--|--|------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------------|------------------|--------------------|-------------------|
| Capital Improvements Fund Capital Improvements Shadow Wood Sh S 1,092,246,53 13,686,33 \$ 125,000,00 \$. \$. S 221,000,00 \$ 1,092,44,86 Shadow Wood Sh 10,341,15 .< | - | ¢ 594 044 90 | \$ 2 560 617 08 | ¢ | ¢ | ¢ 400 364 (| 0 4 3 135 513 51 | ¢ 609 794 46 |
| Capital Improvements \$ 1,002.46.3 \$ \$ 12,002.00 \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - 10,341.15 Shadow Wood 5th 10,341.15 - - 10,341.15 - - 10,341.15 Park Dedication Fund/Nustad Rec Center Exp - 16,331.04 - 104,931.04 100.00 Brooks Harbor 200,000.00 211,066.20 - 406,878.52 - 483,707.61 334,237.11 Oak Ridge 123,169.75 - - - 63,002.63 344,237.11 - - - 63,002.63 The Witis 11,361.88 22,558.65 - - - - 100,000.00 Butters 8th 54,652.00 - - - 1,482.45 - - 1,482.45 - - 1,00,000.00 - 2,37.467 2,23.748.45 - - 1,00,00.00 - 2,23.748.45 - - 1,00,00.00 - 2,23.748.45 1,00,00.00 - 2,487.477.73 144,453.54 2,777 5.14 | General Fund | \$ 364,044.69 | \$3,300,017.00 | φ - | φ - | \$ 400,304.0 | 0 \$ 3,130,513.51 | φ 000,704.40 |
| Capital Improvements \$ 1,002.46.3 \$ \$ 12,002.00 \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - 10,341.15 Shadow Wood 5th 10,341.15 - - 10,341.15 - - 10,341.15 Park Dedication Fund/Nustad Rec Center Exp - 16,331.04 - 104,931.04 100.00 Brooks Harbor 200,000.00 211,066.20 - 406,878.52 - 483,707.61 334,237.11 Oak Ridge 123,169.75 - - - 63,002.63 344,237.11 - - - 63,002.63 The Witis 11,361.88 22,558.65 - - - - 100,000.00 Butters 8th 54,652.00 - - - 1,482.45 - - 1,482.45 - - 1,00,000.00 - 2,37.467 2,23.748.45 - - 1,00,00.00 - 2,23.748.45 - - 1,00,00.00 - 2,23.748.45 1,00,00.00 - 2,487.477.73 144,453.54 2,777 5.14 | Capital Improvements Fund | | | | | | | |
| Shadow Wood Sh 10,341.15 - - - 10,341.85 Park Dedication Fund/Wasta Rec Center Rustad Rec Center Exp (3,822,89) - 490,000,00 - 491,378.89 3,198.43 Brocks Harbor (3,822,89) - 496,000,00 - - 493,370.61 334,437.11 Dark Ndga 123,189.75 - - 23,168.75 - 10,000,00 Eagelwood 2nd 113,81.89 21,82,07 - - 60,00 11,44,53.65 Buildens 8th 54,652,00 - - - 10,000,00 - - 10,000,00 - - 10,000,00 - - 10,000,00 - - 10,000,00 - - 10,000,00 - - 10,000,00 - - 10,000,00 - - - 10,000,00 - - 10,000,00 - - 10,000,00 - - 2,08,77.07 7,23,74,296,25 2,93,672.94 \$ 1,408,30.4 - 2,00,77.94 \$ 1,40 | | \$ 1.092.246.53 | \$ 13.698.33 | \$ 125.000.00 |)\$- | \$- | \$ 221.000.00 | \$1.009.944.86 |
| Park Dedication Fund/Rustad Rec Center fxp 3,198.43 3,198.43 3,198.43 3,198.43 3,198.43 3,198.43 3,198.43 3,198.43 3,198.43 3,198.43 3,198.43 3,198.43 3,198.43 3,198.43 3,198.43 3,198.43 3,198.43 3,198.43 43,107.61 33,4237.11 100.000 166,931.04 . . 166,931.04 . . 166,931.04 . . 166,931.04 . . . 166,931.04 . . 166,931.04 . . . 166,931.04 . </td <td></td> <td></td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td></td> | | | - | - | - | - | - | |
| Brocks Harbor 200,000,00 211,066.20 406,878.52 - 433,707.61 334,237.11 Oak Righe 123,169.75 - 363,002.63 - 383,002.63 The Wilds 11,361.88 82,162.07 - - 363,002.63 West Creek 22,558.65 - - - 11,050.00 11,453.65 Burles Rith 54,652.00 - - - 11,050.00 11,453.65 Christianson 100,000.00 - - - 11,000.00 - - 100,000.00 Heritage Squire 51,472.15 - 100,000.00 - 2,377.47 100,000.00 Golden Wood 51,472.15 - - 2,377.48 2,377.48 2,377.48 Total Capital Improvement Fund \$2,056.612.14 \$ 436,123.88 \$ 789,931.04 \$2,877.4296.25 \$ 2,39,572.94 \$ 1,403,051.54 \$4,516,338.83 Debt Service Fund \$ 2,215,560.71 \$ 671,277.37 124,641.90 \$ 164,000.00 \$ 146,539.50 (99,490.33) </td <td>Park Dedication Fund/Rustad Rec Center</td> <td>(3,822.68)</td> <td>-</td> <td>499,000.00</td> <td>) -</td> <td>-</td> <td>491,978.89</td> <td>3,198.43</td> | Park Dedication Fund/Rustad Rec Center | (3,822.68) | - | 499,000.00 |) - | - | 491,978.89 | 3,198.43 |
| Ock Ridge 123,169.75 - - 23,169.75 - 100,000.00 Eagelwood 2nd 244,031.93 118,970 - - 60.00 103,463.95 West Creek 22,558.65 - - - 60.00 103,463.95 West Creek 22,558.65 - - - 11,105.00 11,453.65 Builers 8th 54.652.00 - - 51,472.15 - 100,000.00 Giden Wood 51,472.15 - - 51,472.15 - 100,000.00 Giden Wood 51,472.15 - - 2,8770.76 22,337.48 Bord 44.12.117 1,482.54 8.47 - - 2,302.704.80 Total Capital Improvement Fund \$2,056.812.14 \$436,123.88 789,931.04 \$2,874.296.25 \$23,872.94 \$1,403,061.54 \$4,616,539.80 Share of Special Assessments: Refi of 09 \$2,465.77 - 45,000.00 - 146,539.50 (9,490.33) Share of Special Assessments: Refi of 09 \$2,33.669.11< | Rustad Rec Center Exp | - | - | 165,931.04 | 1 - | - | 164,931.04 | 1,000.00 |
| Engelwood 2nd 244 (3)1 93 118,970,70 - - - 363,002.63 The Wilds 11,361 88 92,162.07 - - - 60.00 11,453 65 West Creak 22,558 65 - - - - 11,105.00 11,453 65 Duites 8th 54,652.00 - - - 51,472.15 - - 51,472.15 - 100,000.00 Goiden Wood 51,082.44 - - 2,467.417.73 164,931.04 - 2,302.704.80 Debt Service Fund S2.056.612.14 \$ 436,123.88 \$ 789.931.04 \$ 2,874.296.25 \$ 239,572.94 \$ 1,403.951.64 \$ 4,516.338.83 Debt Service Fund Share of Special Assessments: Ref of 13 992.95 333,669.11 60,000.00 - - 144,539.50 (994.90.93) - - 745.63.98 74.956.63 - - 74.563.91 - - 74.563.91 - - 144,539.50 (994.90.93) - - 144,539.50 (994.90.93 | Brooks Harbor | 200,000.00 | 211,066.20 | - | 406,878.52 | - | 483,707.61 | 334,237.11 |
| The Wilds 11.361.88 92.42.07 - - 60.00 102.483.85 West Creak 22.556.65 - - - - 11.05.00 11.453.65 Bullers Bth 54.652.00 - - - - - - 56.82.00 Cristianson 100.000.00 - - - - 100.000.00 Golden Wood 51.472.15 - - 28.770.76 22.337.48 Bond 44-12-117 1.482.24 8.47 - 2.467.417.73 164.931.04 - 2.302.704.80 Total Capital Improvement Fund \$ 2.0568.612.14 \$ 436.123.86 \$ 789.931.04 \$ 2.2674.296.25 \$ 105.000.00 \$ 883.063.24 \$ 2.302.704.80 Share of Special Asseesments: Ref of 09 5 2.048.57 - 45.0000.00 - 5 43.36661.14 \$ 436.146.400 - - 67.300.00 7 453.63 Share of Special Asseesments: Ref of 17 - 141.836.36 - - 67.300.00 7 4553.63 7 455.395 199.403.93 <td>Oak Ridge</td> <td>123,169.75</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td>23,169.7</td> <td>′5 -</td> <td>100,000.00</td> | Oak Ridge | 123,169.75 | - | - | - | 23,169.7 | ′5 - | 100,000.00 |
| West Creek 22,558,65 - - - 11,105.00 11,433,65 Butters Bh 54,652,00 - - - 100,000,00 Horitage Square 151,472,15 - - 51,472,15 - 100,000,00 Golden Wood 51,082,4 - - 28,770,76 22,337,48 Bond 44-12-117 1,492,24 8,47 - - 2,407,417,73 144,304 - 2,302,704,80 Total Capital Improvement Fund \$ 2,058,612,14 \$ 436,123,88 \$ 789,931,04 \$ 2,874,296,25 \$ 2,395,572,94 \$ 1,403,051,54 \$ \$ 4,516,338,83 Debt Service Fund Share of Special Assessments: Ref of 13 92,95 333,869,11 60,000,00 - - 363,334,00 1,328,065 Share of Special Assessments: Ref of 13 92,95 333,869,11 60,000,00 - - 200,424,73 10,036,72 212 Revenue Bond \$ 1,41,853,66 - - - 645,000,00 2,424,72,79 363,319,72 212 Revenue Bond \$ | Eagelwood 2nd | 244,031.93 | 118,970.70 | - | - | - | - | 363,002.63 |
| Butters 8th 54,682.00 - - - - - - - 54,682.00 Christianson 100,000.00 - - - 51,472.15 - 100,000.00 Golden Wood 51,108.24 - - - 28,770.76 22,337.48 Bond 41-2117 1,482.24 8.47 - - 1,489.31.04 - 2,302,704.80 Total Capital Improvement Fund \$2,058,612.14 \$436,123.88 \$789,931.04 \$2,874,296.25 \$ 239,572.94 \$1,403,051.54 \$4,516,338.63 Debt Service Fund \$2,2058,612.14 \$671,277.37 124,641.90 \$ \$146,533.50 (99,490.33) Share of Special Assessments: Refi of 09 \$333,669.11 60,000.00 - - 333,34.00 1,328.06 Share of Special Assessments: Refi of 13 92.95 533,669.11 60,000.00 - - 645,000.00 224,27.79 353,172.44 2012 Revenue Bond 43,634.67 150,000.00 200,000.00 - - 243,272.79 <t< td=""><td>The Wilds</td><td>11,361.88</td><td>92,162.07</td><td>-</td><td>-</td><td>-</td><td>60.00</td><td>103,463.95</td></t<> | The Wilds | 11,361.88 | 92,162.07 | - | - | - | 60.00 | 103,463.95 |
| Christianson 100.000.00 - - - - - 100.000.00 Britage Square 151,472.15 - - 51,472.15 - 100.000.00 Golden Wood 51,108.24 - - 28,770.76 22,337.48 Bond 44-12-117 1.482.54 8.47 - - 2,302.704.80 Total Capital Improvement Fund \$ 2,055,612.14 \$ 436,123.88 \$ 789,931.04 \$ 2,397,294 \$ 1,403,051.54 \$ \$ 45,516,338.83 Debt Service Fund Share of Special Assessments: Refi of 13 992.25 333,669.11 60,000.00 - - 333,34.00 1,328.66 Share of Special Assessments: Refi of 13 992.25 533,669.41 - - - 645,000.00 32,421.79 10,536.72 2012 Revenue Bond 557,470.03 - 30,000.00 - - 200,248.85.89 33,662.1 - - 7,665.00 2,438.50 Burlington Park Special Assess. 6,044.89 4,056.41 - - - 14,271.12 | West Creek | 22,558.65 | - | - | - | - | 11,105.00 | 11,453.65 |
| Heritage Square 151.472.15 . . . 51.472.15 . 100.00.00 Golden Wood 51.108.24 . | Butlers 8th | 54,652.00 | - | - | - | - | - | 54,652.00 |
| Golden Wood 51,108.24 - - - 28,770.76 22,337.48 Bond 44-12-117 1,492.24 8.47 - - 1,498.24 2.77 Building Authority 2017 - 218.11 - 2,467,417.73 164,931.04 5,2058,612.14 \$ 436,123.88 \$ 789,931.04 \$ 2,874,296.25 \$ 29,572.94 \$ 1,403.051.54 \$ 44,516,338.83 Debt Service Fund Share of Special Assessments: Rel of 03 \$ 2,048.67.1 \$ 671,277.37 124,641.90 \$. \$ 105,000.00 \$ 883.063.24 \$ 2,3416.74 Share of Special Assessments: Rel of 17 - 141,836.38 - - - 673,000.00 74,536.36 Pace Pace Namue Bond 57,470.03 - 30,000.00 - - 234,277.9 353,197.24 2012 Revenue Bond 57,470.03 - 30,000.00 - - 234,277.9 353,197.24 2012 Revenue Bond 13,346.67 15.000.00 200,000.00 - - 234,477.9 353,197.24 Special Assessenments: Rel of 17 | Christianson | 100,000.00 | - | - | - | - | - | 100,000.00 |
| Bond 44-12:117 Building Authority 2017 1,492.54 2,18,11 8,47 2,18,11 - - - 1,498.24 2,302,704.80 2,77 2,302,704.80 Total Capital Improvement Fund \$2,058,612.14 \$436,123.88 \$789,931.04 \$2,874,296.25 \$239,572.94 \$1,403,051.54 \$4,516,338.83 Debt Service Fund Share of Special Assessments: Refi of 09 Share of Special Assessments: Refi of 13 Share of Special Assessments: Refi of 13 Share of Special Assessments: Refi of 17 92.95 333,669.11 60,000.00 - - 393,334.00 1,328.06 2012 Revenue Bonds \$57,470.03 - 141,863.36 - - 645,000.00 2,2416.74 98.33,197.24 2012 Revenue Bond 45,634.67 15,000.00 20,000.00 - - 200,248.78 58,31.87.24 30.000.00 - - - 7,665.00 2,433.30 114,271.12 (88.30.83.24 2012 Lease Revenue Bond 45,634.67 15,000.00 200,000.00 - - 14,771.4 (88.33.04 304/h Avenue Special Assess. 17,546.59 20,907.34 - - 127 | Heritage Square | 151,472.15 | - | - | - | 51,472.1 | 5 - | 100,000.00 |
| Building Authority 2017 - 218.11 - 2,467,417.73 164,931.04 - 2,302,704.80 Total Capital Improvement Fund \$ 2,058,612.14 \$ 4,361,23.88 \$ 789,931.04 \$ 2,874,296.25 \$ 2,39,772.94 \$ 1,403,051.54 \$ \$ 4,516,533.8.3 Debt Service Fund Share of Special Assessments: Refi of 13 992.95 333,669.11 60,000.00 - 146,539.50 994.09.33 1,328.06 Share of Special Assessments: Refi of 17 7,653.91 680,010.00 - - 645,000.00 - 146,539.50 (994.90.33) 1,328.06 2012 Revenue Bonds 557,470.03 - 30,000.00 - - 244,272.79 353,172.4 2013 Resea Revenue Bond 43,634.67 15,000.00 2,000,247.87 58,385.86 6,044.89 4,068.41 - - 7,665.00 2,434,272.79 353,172.4 Shadow Wood Special Assess. 17,546.59 20,907.34 - - 142,711.12 (88.43.01 Special Assessments: River Bend 14 89,304.251.51.00 - - 142,71.32 <td>Golden Wood</td> <td>51,108.24</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td>28,770.76</td> <td>22,337.48</td> | Golden Wood | 51,108.24 | - | - | - | - | 28,770.76 | 22,337.48 |
| Total Capital Improvement Fund \$ 2,058,612.14 \$ 436,123.88 \$ 789,931.04 \$ 2,874,296.25 \$ 239,572.94 \$ 1,403,051.54 \$ 4,516,338.83 Debt Service Fund Share of Special Assessments: Ref of 09 \$ 215,560.71 \$ 671,277.37 124,641.90 \$ - \$ 105,000.00 \$ 883,063.24 \$ 2,34,16,74 Share of Special Assessments: Ref of 09 \$ 215,560.71 \$ 671,277.37 124,641.90 \$ - - 645,000.00 - - 393,334.00 1,328.06 Share of Special Assessments: Ref of 13 \$ 92.95 333,669.11 60,000.00 - - - 645,000.00 224,27.79 105,536.36 Recreation Fund 7,653.91 680,304.60 - - 645,000.00 224,27.79 353,197.24 2012 Revenue Bond 43,634.67 15.000.00 200,000.00 - - 200,248.78 68,385.89 Bultington Park Special Assess. 6,044.89 4,058.41 - - - 14,271.12 (88.43) Shadow Wood Jissessments; Shadow Wood II 33,840.59 415,215.00 - - | | 1,492.54 | 8.47 | - | - | - | 1,498.24 | |
| Debt Service Fund Share of Special Share of Special Assessments: Refi of 09 Share of Special Assessments: Refi of 13 Share of Special Assessments: Refi of 17 Recreation Fund \$ 215,560.71 2,048.57 Share of Special Assessments: Refi of 17 Share of Special Assessments: Refi of 17 Share of Special Assessments: Refi of 17 Recreation Fund \$ 215,560.71 Share of Special Assessments: Refi of 17 Share of Special Assessments: Refi of 17 Share of Special Assessments: Refi of 17 Recreation Fund \$ 216,560.71 Share of Special Assessments: Refi of 17 Share of Special Assessments: Refi of 17 Recreation Fund \$ 216,560.71 Share of Special Assessments: Refi of 17 Share of Special Assess. \$ 014,030.460 - - 645,000.00 Share of Special Assess. \$ 00,00.00 - 224,272.79 Share of Special Assess. \$ 03,030.00 Share of Special Assess. 00,000.00 Share of Special Assess. - - - 234,272.79 Share of Special Assess. 5 0,032.48.30 Share of Special Assess. - - - 242,472.12 Special Assessments: Shadow Wood II Special Assessments: Shadow Wood II Special Assessments: Shadow Wood II Special Assessments: Share of Multi 449,343.42 193,012.17 Special Assessments: Share of Multi 449,343.42 193,012.17 Special Assessments: Share of Multi 449,343.42 193,012.17 Special Revenue Fund S 1,098,082.75 \$ 2,515,547.02 \$ 459,641.90 \$ 6,966,210.57 \$ 750,000.00 \$ 9,289,693.86 \$ 999,788.38 | Building Authority 2017 | - | 218.11 | - | 2,467,417.73 | 164,931.0 | - 14 | 2,302,704.80 |
| Share of Special Assessments: Refi of 19 \$ 215,560.71 \$ 671,277.37 124,641.90 \$ - \$ 105,000.00 \$ 883,063.24 \$ 23,416.74 Share of Special Assessments: Refi of 13 2,048.57 - 45,000.00 - - 346,539.50 (99,490.93) Share of Special Assessments: Refi of 17 - 141,836.36 - - - 367,300.00 74,553.36 Recreation Fund 7,653.91 680,304.60 - - 645,000.00 32,421.79 10,536.72 2012 Revenue Bonds 557,470.03 - 30,000.00 - - 240,242.79 353,689.14 40th Avenue Special Assess. 6,044.89 4,058.41 - - 242,71.2 88,383.88 Buffington Park Special Assess. 3,326.52 10,856.17 - - 17,763.14 20,909.79 Special Assessments: Shadow Wood II 3,340.59 415,215.00 - - 127,763.00 114,592.59 Special Assessments: Shadow Wood II 3,840.59 415,215.00 - - 127,763.00 114,592.59 Special Assessments: River Bend 14 49,343.42 193,012.17 </td <td>Total Capital Improvement Fund</td> <td>\$ 2,058,612.14</td> <td>\$ 436,123.88</td> <td>\$ 789,931.04</td> <td>\$2,874,296.25</td> <td>\$ 239,572.9</td> <td>4 \$ 1,403,051.54</td> <td>\$4,516,338.83</td> | Total Capital Improvement Fund | \$ 2,058,612.14 | \$ 436,123.88 | \$ 789,931.04 | \$2,874,296.25 | \$ 239,572.9 | 4 \$ 1,403,051.54 | \$4,516,338.83 |
| Share of Special Assessments: Refi of 19 \$ 215,560.71 \$ 671,277.37 124,641.90 \$ - \$ 105,000.00 \$ 883,063.24 \$ 23,416.74 Share of Special Assessments: Refi of 13 2,048.57 - 45,000.00 - - 346,539.50 (99,490.93) Share of Special Assessments: Refi of 17 - 141,836.36 - - - 367,300.00 74,553.36 Recreation Fund 7,653.91 680,304.60 - - 645,000.00 32,421.79 10,536.72 2012 Revenue Bonds 557,470.03 - 30,000.00 - - 240,242.79 353,689.14 40th Avenue Special Assess. 6,044.89 4,058.41 - - 242,71.2 88,383.88 Buffington Park Special Assess. 3,326.52 10,856.17 - - 17,763.14 20,909.79 Special Assessments: Shadow Wood II 3,340.59 415,215.00 - - 127,763.00 114,592.59 Special Assessments: Shadow Wood II 3,840.59 415,215.00 - - 127,763.00 114,592.59 Special Assessments: River Bend 14 49,343.42 193,012.17 </td <td>Debt Service Fund</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> | Debt Service Fund | | | | | | | |
| Share of Special Assessments: Refi of 09 2,048.57 - 45,000.00 - - 146,539.50 (99,490.93) Share of Special Assessments: Refi of 13 992.95 333,669.11 600.000.00 - - 393,334.00 1,328.06 Share of Special Assessments: Refi of 17 - 141,836.36 - - 645,000.00 32,421.79 10,536.72 2012 Revenue Bond 43,634.67 15,000.00 200,000.00 - - 234,272.79 353,197.24 2013 Lease Revenue Bond 43,634.67 15,000.00 200,000.00 - - 14,271.12 (88.43) Shade of Model Assess. 3,265.2 10,856.17 - - 14,271.12 (88.43) Shade of Model Assess. 17,546.59 20,907.34 - - 141,592.00 (2,403.64) Special Assessments: Shadow Wood II 33,406.59 415,215.00 - - 142,7763.14 20,607.75 Special Assessments: River Bend 14 49,343.42 193,012.17 - - 162,000.08 200,647.59 37.72 10.02 Special Assessments: River Bend 14 49,343.42 </td <td></td> <td>\$ 215 560 71</td> <td>\$ 671 277 37</td> <td>124 641 90</td> <td>) \$ -</td> <td>\$ 105,000 (</td> <td>0 \$ 883.063.24</td> <td>\$ 23 416 74</td> | | \$ 215 560 71 | \$ 671 277 37 | 124 641 90 |) \$ - | \$ 105,000 (| 0 \$ 883.063.24 | \$ 23 416 74 |
| Share of Special Assessments: Refi of 13 992.95 333,669.11 60,000.00 - - 393,334.00 1,328.00 Share of Special Assessments: Refi of 17 - 141,836.36 - - - 67,300.00 74,536.36 Recreation Fund 557,470.03 - 30,000.00 - - 645,000.00 22,421.79 353,197.24 2012 Revenue Bonds 557,470.03 - 30,000.00 - - 234,272.79 353,197.24 2013 Lease Revenue Bond 43,634.67 15,000.00 20,000.00 - - 7,665.00 2,438.30 Builington Park Special Assess. 6,044.89 4,058.41 - - - 14,271.12 (88,43) Shadow Wood Special Assessments; Maple Ridge II (1,381.18) 29,159.54 - - - 145,200.00 (2,400.64) Special Assessments; Maple Ridge II (1,381.18) 29,159.54 - - - 145,200.00 (2,400.64) Special Assessments; Nadow Wood II 33,840.59 415,215.00 - - - 145,200.00 (2,400.64) Special Assessmen | • | * - / | | , | • | - | | |
| Share of Special Assessments: Refi of 17 Recreation Fund - 141,836.36 - - 67,300.00 74,536.36 Recreation Fund 7,653.91 680,304.60 - - 645,000.00 324,217.9 10,536.72 2012 Revenue Bonds 557,470.03 - 30,000.00 - - 200,248.78 58,385.89 40th Avenue Special Assess. 6,044.89 4,058.41 - - 14,271.12 (88.43) Shadow Wood Special Assess. 3,326.52 10,856.17 - - 14,271.12 (88.43) Shadow Wood Special Assess. 17,546.59 20,907.34 - - 14,271.2 (88.43) Special Assessments; Maple Ridge II (1,381.18) 29,159.54 - - 10,763.14 20,680.79 Special Assessments; Maple Ridge II (1,381.42 193,012.17 - - 127,763.00 114,592.59 Building Authority 2007 Reserve 162,000.10 247.19 - - 247.21 162,000.08 Building Authority 2007 Reserve 162,000.10 247.19 - - 6,966,210.57 - - - <td>•</td> <td></td> <td>333.669.11</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>-</td> <td>,</td> <td>()</td> | • | | 333.669.11 | | | - | , | () |
| Recreation Fund 7,653.91 680,304.60 - - 645,000.00 32,421.79 10,536.72 2012 Revenue Bonds 557,470.03 - 30,000.00 - - 234,272.79 353,197.24 2013 Lease Revenue Bond 43,634.67 15,000.00 200,000.00 - - 234,272.79 353,197.24 2013 Lease Revenue Bond 43,634.67 15,000.00 200,000.00 - - 234,272.79 353,197.24 2013 Lease Revenue Bond 43,634.67 15,000.00 200,000.00 - - 244.21.79 (48.43) Builington Park Special Assess. 3,326.52 10,856.17 - - - 14,271.12 (48.43) Special Assessments; Maple Ridge II (1,381.18) 29,159.54 - - - 30,182.00 (2,403.64) Special Assessments; Niver Bend 14 49,343.42 193,012.17 - - 247.21 162,000.08 Building Authority 2007 Reserve 160,900.01 247.19 - - 247.21 162,000.08 </td <td>•</td> <td></td> <td>,</td> <td></td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td></td> <td></td> | • | | , | | - | - | | |
| 2012 Revenue Bonds 557,470.03 - 30,000.00 - - 234,272.79 353,197.24 2013 Lease Revenue Bond 43,634.67 15,000.00 200,000.00 - - 200,248.78 563,385.89 40th Avenue Special Assess. 6,044.489 4,058.41 - - 7,665.00 2,438.30 Burlington Park Special Assess. 3,326.52 10,956.17 - - 14,271.12 (88.43) Shadow Wood Special Assess. 17,546.59 20,907.34 - - - 30,182.00 (2,403.64) Special Assessments; Shadow Wood II 33,840.59 415,215.00 - - 168,408.00 280,647.59 Special Assessments; River Bend 14 49,343.42 193,012.17 - - 247.21 162,000.08 Bond 44-10-605 0.98 3.76 - - 247.21 162,000.08 City of West Fargo Refinance - - 6,966,210.57 6,966,210.57 6,966,210.57 - \$ 6,966,210.57 - 5 6,2,03.94 \$ 116,229.39 5 56,124,287.83 \$ 1,249,572.94< | • | 7,653.91 | | - | - | 645,000.0 | | |
| 40th Avenue Special Assess. 6,044.89 4,058.41 - - 7,665.00 2,438.30 Burlington Park Special Assess. 3,326.52 10,856.17 - - 14,271.12 (88.43) Shadow Wood Special Assess. 17,546.59 20,907.34 - - 30,182.00 (2,438.30) Special Assessments; Maple Ridge II (1,381.18) 29,159.54 - - 30,182.00 (2,403.64) Special Assessments; Nave Bend 14 49,343.42 193,012.17 - - - 127,763.00 114,592.59 Building Authority 2007 Reserve 162,000.10 247.19 - - 247.21 162,000.08 Bond 44-10-605 0.98 3.76 - - - 3.72 1.02 City of West Fargo Refinance - - - 6,966,210.57 - 6,966,210.57 - - 6,966,210.57 - - 4.124,911.67 Nonmajor Funds \$ 3,740,739.78 \$ 6,512,287.98 \$ 1,249,572.94 \$ 9,840,506.82 \$ 1,389,936.94 \$ 13,828,285.91 \$ 6,124,911.67 Nonmajor funds \$ 3,740,739.78 | 2012 Revenue Bonds | 557,470.03 | | 30,000.00 |) - | · - | | |
| Burlington Park Special Assess. 3,326.52 10,856.17 - - 14,271.12 (88.43) Shadow Wood Special Assess. 17,546.59 20,907.34 - - 17,763.14 20,690.79 Special Assessments; Maple Ridge II (1,381.18) 29,159.54 - - 30,182.00 (2,403.64) Special Assessments; Naple Ridge II (1,381.42) 193,012.17 - - 162,000.00 (2,403.64) Special Assessments; River Bend 14 49,343.42 193,012.17 - - 127,763.00 114,592.59 Building Authority 2007 Reserve 162,000.10 247.19 - - 247.21 162,000.08 Bond 44-10-605 0.98 3.76 - - 3.72 1.02 City of West Fargo Refinance - - 6,966,210.57 - | 2013 Lease Revenue Bond | 43,634.67 | 15,000.00 | 200,000.00 |) - | - | 200,248.78 | 58,385.89 |
| Shadow Wood Special Assess. 17,546.59 20,907.34 - - 17,763.14 20,690.79 Special Assessments; Maple Ridge II (1,381.18) 29,159.54 - - 30,182.00 (2,403.64) Special Assessments; Shadow Wood II 33,840.59 415,215.00 - - 168,408.00 280,647.59 Special Assessments; Neer Bend 14 49,343.42 193,012.17 - - 127,763.00 114,592.59 Building Authority 2007 Reserve 162,000.10 247.19 - - 247.21 162,000.08 Bond 44-10-605 0.98 3.76 - - - 3.72 1.02 City of West Fargo Refinance - - 6,966,210.57 - 6,966,210.57 - 6,966,210.57 - 6,966,210.57 - 6,966,210.57 - 6,928,083.86 \$ 999,788.38 Total Debt Service Fund \$ 1,098,082.75 \$2,515,547.02 \$ 459,641.90 \$6,966,210.57 \$ 750,000.00 \$ 9,289,693.86 \$ 999,788.38 Total Major Funds \$ 3,740,739.78 \$6,512,287.98 \$1,249,572.94 \$9,840,506.82 \$1,389,393.94 \$ 116,22 | 40th Avenue Special Assess. | 6,044.89 | 4,058.41 | - | - | - | 7,665.00 | 2,438.30 |
| Special Assessments; Maple Ridge II (1,381.18) 29,159.54 - - - 30,182.00 (2,403.64) Special Assessments; Shadow Wood II 33,840.59 415,215.00 - - - 168,408.00 280,647.59 Special Assessments; River Bend 14 49,343.42 193,012.17 - - - 127,763.00 114,592.59 Building Authority 2007 Reserve 162,000.10 247.19 - - 3.72 1.02 City of West Fargo Refinance 0.98 3.76 - - 6,966,210.57 6,966,210.57 - 6,966,210.57 - - - 3.72 1.02 Total Debt Service Fund \$1,098,082.75 \$2,515,547.02 \$ 459,641.90 \$6,966,210.57 \$ 750,000.00 \$ 9,289,693.86 \$ 999,788.38 Total Major Funds \$3,740,739.78 \$6,512,287.98 \$1,249,572.94 \$9,840,506.82 \$1,389,936.94 \$13,828,258.91 \$6,124,911.67 Nonmajor funds \$3,740,739.78 \$6,512,287.98 \$1,249,572.94 \$9,840,506.82 \$1,389,936.94 \$116,229.39 Sponsorship 733.19 125,348.31 - | Burlington Park Special Assess. | 3,326.52 | 10,856.17 | - | - | - | 14,271.12 | (88.43) |
| Special Assessments; Shadow Wood II 33,840.59 415,215.00 - - - 168,408.00 220,647.59 Special Assessments; River Bend 14 49,343.42 193,012.17 - - 127,763.00 114,592.59 Building Authority 2007 Reserve 162,000.10 247.19 - - 247.21 162,000.08 Bond 44-10-605 0.98 3.76 - - 3.72 1.02 City of West Fargo Refinance - - 6,966,210.57 - 6,966,210.57 - Total Debt Service Fund \$1,098,082.75 \$2,515,547.02 \$ 459,641.90 \$6,966,210.57 \$ 750,000.00 \$ 9,289,693.86 \$ 999,788.38 Total Major Funds \$3,740,739.78 \$6,512,287.98 \$1,249,572.94 \$9,840,506.82 \$1,389,936.94 \$13,828,258.91 \$6,124,911.67 Nonmajor funds \$3,740,739.78 \$6,512,287.98 \$1,249,572.94 \$9,840,506.82 \$1,389,936.94 \$13,828,258.91 \$6,124,911.67 Nonmajor funds \$3,740,739.78 \$ 6,512,287.98 \$1,249,572.94 \$9,840,506.82 \$1,389,936.94 \$ 116,229.39 Sponsorship 733.19 <td< td=""><td>Shadow Wood Special Assess.</td><td>17,546.59</td><td>20,907.34</td><td>-</td><td>-</td><td>-</td><td>17,763.14</td><td>20,690.79</td></td<> | Shadow Wood Special Assess. | 17,546.59 | 20,907.34 | - | - | - | 17,763.14 | 20,690.79 |
| Special Assessments; River Bend 14 Building Authority 2007 Reserve Bond 44-10-605 City of West Fargo Refinance 49,343.42 193,012.17 - - - 127,763.00 114,592.59 Bond 44-10-605 City of West Fargo Refinance 0.98 3.76 - - - 3.72 1.02 Total Debt Service Fund \$1,098,082.75 \$2,515,547.02 \$459,641.90 \$6,966,210.57 \$750,000.00 \$9,289,693.86 \$999,788.38 Total Major Funds \$3,740,739.78 \$6,512,287.98 \$1,249,572.94 \$9,840,506.82 \$1,389,936.94 \$13,828,258.91 \$6,124,911.67 Nonmajor funds \$3,740,739.78 \$6,512,287.98 \$1,249,572.94 \$9,840,506.82 \$1,389,936.94 \$13,828,258.91 \$6,124,911.67 Nonmajor funds \$3,740,739.78 \$6,512,287.98 \$1,249,572.94 \$9,840,506.82 \$1,389,936.94 \$13,828,258.91 \$6,124,911.67 Nonmajor funds \$3,740,739.78 \$6,512,287.98 \$1,249,572.94 \$9,840,506.82 \$1,389,936.94 \$13,828,258.91 \$6,124,911.67 Nonmajor funds \$3,740,739.78 \$6,512,287.98 \$1,249,570.90 \$- \$62,303.94 \$116,229.39 Sponsorship 733 | Special Assessments; Maple Ridge II | (1,381.18) | 29,159.54 | - | - | - | 30,182.00 | (2,403.64) |
| Building Authority 2007 Reserve Bond 44-10-605 162,000.10 247.19 - - 247.21 162,000.08 City of West Fargo Refinance 0.98 3.76 - - 3.72 1.02 Total Debt Service Fund \$1,098,082.75 \$2,515,547.02 \$ 459,641.90 \$6,966,210.57 \$ 750,000.00 \$ 9,289,693.86 \$ 999,788.38 Total Major Funds \$3,740,739.78 \$6,512,287.98 \$1,249,572.94 \$9,840,506.82 \$1,389,936.94 \$13,828,258.91 \$6,124,911.67 Nonmajor funds \$29,669.33 \$ 8,500.00 \$ 140,364.00 \$ - \$ - \$ 62,303.94 \$ 116,229.39 Sponsorship 733.19 125,348.31 - - 69,905.00 55,161.39 1,015.11 Young Field \$ 31,602.52 \$ 626,089.16 \$ 210,269.00 \$ - \$ 69,905.00 \$ 680,515.96 \$ 117,539.72 | Special Assessments; Shadow Wood II | 33,840.59 | 415,215.00 | - | - | - | 168,408.00 | 280,647.59 |
| Bond 44-10-605 City of West Fargo Refinance 0.98 3.76 - - - 3.72 1.02 City of West Fargo Refinance - - - 6,966,210.57 - 6,966,210.57 - - Total Debt Service Fund \$1,098,082.75 \$2,515,547.02 \$459,641.90 \$6,966,210.57 \$750,000.00 \$9,289,693.86 \$999,788.38 Total Major Funds \$3,740,739.78 \$6,512,287.98 \$1,249,572.94 \$9,840,506.82 \$1,389,936.94 \$13,828,258.91 \$6,124,911.67 Nonmajor funds Special Revenue Fund Arena Capital Improvements \$29,669.33 \$8,500.00 \$140,364.00 \$- \$ \$6,2,303.94 \$116,229.39 Young Field \$29,669.33 \$8,500.00 \$140,364.00 \$- \$ \$62,303.94 \$116,229.39 Total Nonmajor Special Revenue Fund \$29,669.33 \$8,500.00 \$140,364.00 \$- \$ \$62,303.94 \$116,229.39 Total Nonmajor Special Revenue Fund \$31,602.52 \$626,089.16 \$210,269.00 \$- \$69,905.00 \$680,515.96 \$117,539.72 | Special Assessments; River Bend 14 | 49,343.42 | 193,012.17 | - | - | - | 127,763.00 | 114,592.59 |
| City of West Fargo Refinance - - 6,966,210.57 - 6,966,210.57 - Total Debt Service Fund \$1,098,082.75 \$2,515,547.02 \$459,641.90 \$6,966,210.57 \$750,000.00 \$9,289,693.86 \$9999,788.38 Total Major Funds \$3,740,739.78 \$6,512,287.98 \$1,249,572.94 \$9,840,506.82 \$1,389,936.94 \$13,828,258.91 \$6,124,911.67 Nonmajor funds Special Revenue Fund \$29,669.33 \$8,500.00 \$140,364.00 \$- \$ \$- \$62,303.94 \$116,229.39 Young Field \$29,669.33 \$8,500.00 \$140,364.00 \$- \$ \$62,303.94 \$116,229.39 Total Nonmajor funds \$29,669.33 \$8,500.00 \$140,364.00 \$- \$ \$62,303.94 \$116,229.39 Young Field \$31,002.52 \$626,089.16 \$20,269.00 \$- \$69,905.00 \$55,161.39 1,015.11 Young Field \$31,602.52 \$626,089.16 \$210,269.00 \$- \$69,905.00 \$680,515.96 \$117,539.72 | Building Authority 2007 Reserve | 162,000.10 | 247.19 | - | - | - | 247.21 | 162,000.08 |
| Total Debt Service Fund \$1,098,082.75 \$2,515,547.02 \$459,641.90 \$6,966,210.57 \$750,000.00 \$9,289,693.86 \$999,788.38 Total Major Funds \$3,740,739.78 \$6,512,287.98 \$1,249,572.94 \$9,840,506.82 \$1,389,936.94 \$13,828,258.91 \$6,124,911.67 Nonmajor funds Special Revenue Fund \$29,669.33 \$8,500.00 \$140,364.00 \$- \$- \$62,303.94 \$116,229.39 Sponsorship 733.19 125,348.31 - - \$69,905.00 \$55,161.39 1,015.11 Young Field \$31,602.52 \$626,089.16 \$210,269.00 - \$69,905.00 \$680,515.96 \$117,539.72 | Bond 44-10-605 | 0.98 | 3.76 | - | - | - | 3.72 | 1.02 |
| Total Major Funds \$ 3,740,739.78 \$ 6,512,287.98 \$ 1,249,572.94 \$ 9,840,506.82 \$ 1,389,936.94 \$ 13,828,258.91 \$ 6,124,911.67 Nonmajor funds Special Revenue Fund Arena Capital Improvements \$ 29,669.33 \$ 8,500.00 \$ 140,364.00 \$ - \$ - \$ 62,303.94 \$ 116,229.39 Sponsorship 733.19 125,348.31 - - 69,905.00 55,161.39 1,015.11 Young Field 3 1,602.52 626,089.16 2 10,269.00 - \$ 69,905.00 \$ 680,515.96 117,539.72 | City of West Fargo Refinance | - | - | - | 6,966,210.57 | - | 6,966,210.57 | - |
| Nonmajor funds Special Revenue Fund Arena Capital Improvements \$ 29,669.33 \$ 8,500.00 \$ 140,364.00 \$ - \$ - \$ 62,303.94 \$ 116,229.39 Sponsorship 733.19 125,348.31 - 6 9,905.00 55,161.39 1,015.11 Young Field 1,200.00 492,240.85 69,905.00 - 563,050.63 295.22 Total Nonmajor Special Revenue Fund \$ 31,602.52 \$ 626,089.16 \$ 210,269.00 \$ - \$ 69,905.00 \$ 680,515.96 \$ 117,539.72 | Total Debt Service Fund | \$ 1,098,082.75 | \$2,515,547.02 | \$ 459,641.90 | \$6,966,210.57 | \$ 750,000.0 | 00 \$ 9,289,693.86 | \$ 999,788.38 |
| Special Revenue Fund \$ 29,669.33 \$ 8,500.00 \$ 140,364.00 \$ - \$ - \$ 62,303.94 \$ 116,229.39 Sponsorship 733.19 125,348.31 - 69,905.00 55,161.39 1,015.11 Young Field 1,200.00 492,240.85 69,905.00 - \$ 69,905.00 563,050.63 295.22 Total Nonmajor Special Revenue Fund \$ 31,602.52 \$ 626,089.16 \$ 210,269.00 \$ - \$ 69,905.00 - \$ 69,905.00 \$ 8 680,515.96 \$ 117,539.72 | Total Major Funds | \$ 3,740,739.78 | \$6,512,287.98 | \$1,249,572.94 | \$9,840,506.82 | \$1,389,936.9 | 94 \$13,828,258.91 | \$6,124,911.67 |
| Sponsorship Young Field 733.19 125,348.31 - - 69,905.00 55,161.39 1,015.11 Total Nonmajor Special Revenue Fund \$ 31,602.52 \$ 626,089.16 \$ 210,269.00 \$ - \$ 69,905.00 \$ 680,515.96 \$ 117,539.72 | • | | | | | | | |
| Young Field 1,200.00 492,240.85 69,905.00 - - 563,050.63 295.22 Total Nonmajor Special Revenue Fund \$ 31,602.52 \$ 626,089.16 \$ 210,269.00 \$ - \$ 69,905.00 \$ 680,515.96 \$ 117,539.72 | Arena Capital Improvements | \$ 29,669.33 | \$ 8,500.00 | \$ 140,364.00 |)\$- | \$- | \$ 62,303.94 | \$ 116,229.39 |
| Total Nonmajor Special Revenue Fund\$ 31,602.52 \$ 626,089.16 \$ 210,269.00 \$ - \$ 69,905.00 \$ 680,515.96 \$ 117,539.72 | Sponsorship | 733.19 | 125,348.31 | - | - | 69,905.0 | 0 55,161.39 | 1,015.11 |
| | | | | 69,905.00 |) - | - | , | |
| Total Park District \$3,772,342.30 \$7,138,377.14 \$1,459,841.94 \$9,840,506.82 \$1,459,841.94 \$14,508,774.87 \$6,242,451.39 | Total Nonmajor Special Revenue Fund | \$ 31,602.52 | \$ 626,089.16 | \$ 210,269.00 |)\$- | \$ 69,905.0 | 00 \$ 680,515.96 | \$ 117,539.72 |
| | Total Park District | \$ 3,772,342.30 | \$7,138,377.14 | \$1,459,841.94 | \$9,840,506.82 | \$1,459,841.9 | 94 \$14,508,774.87 | \$6,242,451.39 |



Local Government Division FARGO OFFICE MANAGER – CRAIG HASHBARGER Phone (701)239-7250

STATE OF NORTH DAKOTA OFFICE OF THE STATE AUDITOR FARGO OFFICE BRANCH 1655 43RD STREET SOUTH, SUITE 203 FARGO, NORTH DAKOTA 58103

REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN AUDIT OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS

Independent Auditor's Report

Park District Board West Fargo Park District West Fargo, North Dakota

We have audited, in accordance with the auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the financial statements of the governmental activities, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of the West Fargo Park District, as of and for the year ended December 31, 2017, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the West Fargo Park District's basic financial statements, and have issued our report thereon dated March 5, 2019.

Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit of the financial statements, we considered the West Fargo Park District's internal control over financial reporting (internal control) to determine the audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the West Fargo Park District's internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the West Fargo Park District's internal control.

A deficiency in internal control exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, misstatements on a timely basis. A *material weakness* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the entity's financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. A *significant deficiency* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control, such that weakness yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies and therefore, material weaknesses or significant deficiencies may exist that have not been identified. We did identify certain deficiencies in internal control, described in the accompanying *summary of auditor's results and findings* as items 2017-001, 2017-002, 2017-003, and 2017-004 that we consider to be material weaknesses.

WEST FARGO PARK DISTRICT Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* - Continued

Compliance and Other Matters

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the West Fargo Park District's financial statements are free of material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

West Fargo Park District's Response to Findings

The West Fargo Park District's response to the findings identified in our audit is described in the accompanying *summary of auditor's results and findings*. The West Fargo Park District's response was not subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the financial statements and, accordingly, we express no opinion on it.

Purpose of this Report

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the result of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the entity's internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

/s/

Joshua C. Gallion State Auditor

Fargo, North Dakota March 5, 2019

Summary of Auditor's Results For the Year Ended December 31, 2017

Financial Statements

| Type of Report Issued? Governmental Activities Component Unit – Water Resource District Major Funds Aggregate Remaining Fund Information | Unmodified Unmodified Unmodified Unmodified | |
|--|--|----|
| Internal control over financial reporting | | |
| Material weaknesses identified? | X Yes None Note | эd |
| Significant deficiencies identified not considered to be material weaknesses? | Yes <u>X</u> None Note | эd |
| Noncompliance material to financial statements noted? | Yes X None Note | эd |

Schedule of Audit Findings For the Year Ended December 31, 2017

2017-001 – LACK OF SEGREGATION OF DUTIES

Condition

The West Fargo Park District has only one individual responsible for most accounting functions. Limited segregation of duties exists, as one employee is responsible to deposit monies, print vendor checks, send checks to vendors, record receipts, and disbursements in journals, and sign checks.

Criteria

Sufficient personnel should exist to ensure that duties of employees are segregated. The segregation of duties between the custody of, accounting for, and reconciliation of cash and other assets would provide better control over the assets of the Park District.

Cause

Due to the Park District's size, complexity, organizational structure and the economic realities the West Fargo Park District faces, it is presently not economically feasible to have more than one person responsible for certain accounting functions.

Effect

This increases the risk of misstatement of the Park District's financial statements.

Recommendation

To mitigate the risk associated with this lack of segregation of duties, we will recommend the following:

- The governing board should continue to approve all expenditures.
- Expenditures, financial statements, bank reconciliations, and payroll registers should be reviewed, analyzed, and spot-checked by a responsible official. In the case of the West Fargo Park District, the most likely official is the Executive Director.
- Where possible, segregate the functions of approval, posting, custody of assets, and reconciliation as relates to any amounts which impact the financial statements.

West Fargo Park District's Response

We agree that at present duties are not fully segregated to obtain proper segregation of duties. I feel we have taken great strides over the years in improving this weakness that is an ongoing issue. This condition will continue to be an improvement area until we are able to hire another full-time business position.

2017-002 – FINANCIAL STATEMENT PREPARATION

Condition

The West Fargo Park District does not have an internal control system over financial reporting designed to provide for the preparation of the financial statements, including the accompanying note disclosures as required by Generally Accepted Accounting Principles (GAAP).

Criteria

Management of the West Fargo Park District is responsible for establishing proper internal control over the preparation of the West Fargo Park District's annual financial statements to ensure that financial statements and note disclosures are reliable, accurate, free of material misstatement, and in accordance with GAAP.

Cause

This deficiency is due to limited resources coupled with the fact that the West Fargo Park District may not know how to prepare basic financial statements that comply with GAAP. Thus, management has elected to have the financial statements and note disclosures be prepared by the auditors.

Effect

This increases the risk of misstatement of the Park District's financial statements.

Recommendation

We recognize that the West Fargo Park District is not staffed with personnel fully knowledgeable of GAAP. However, it is important the Park District be aware of this weakness and that management accepts responsibility for the preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements in accordance with GAAP, even if the auditor assisted in drafting those financial statements.

West Fargo Park District's Response

Agree. The West Fargo Park District is aware that there is a risk having the State Auditor's Office prepare and approve our financial statements and note disclosures. We may attempt to prepare the financial statements and note disclosures in the future.

2017-003 – ADJUSTING JOURNAL ENTRIES

Condition

Material auditor-identified adjusting entries to the financial statements were proposed to properly reflect the financial statements in accordance with Generally Accepted Accounting Principles (GAAP).

Criteria

The West Fargo Park District is required to maintain internal controls at a level where support for general ledger accounts can be developed and a determination can be made that the general ledger accounts are properly reflected in accordance with GAAP.

Cause

Management of the West Fargo Park District does not have adequate resources to obtain proper internal controls and training to ensure fair presentation of the Park District's financial statements in accordance with GAAP.

Effect

Inadequate internal controls over recording of transactions affects the West Fargo Park District's ability to detect misstatements in amounts that could be material in relation to the financial statements.

Recommendation

We recognize the West Fargo Park District is not staffed with personnel fully knowledgeable of GAAP. However, it is important that management is aware of this weakness and that management accepts responsibility for the audit adjustments. We recommend the West Fargo Park District implement internal controls to ensure financial statements are free from material misstatement.

West Fargo Park District's Response

Agree. The West Fargo Park District does not have adequate resources to obtain proper internal controls and training to make and identify all necessary adjustments. We will continue to try to identify all items in need of adjustment at year end to comply with GAAP.

2017-004 – PRIOR PERIOD ADJUSTMENTS

Condition

Special assessments payable were understated in the prior year financial statements by \$3,990,813 which resulted in a prior period adjustment to the current financial statements.

Criteria

West Fargo Park District reports its financial statements in accordance with Generally Accepted Accounting Principles, which requires all account balances to be properly reported.

Cause

West Fargo Park District relies on the City of West Fargo to provide the special assessments payable balances for the financial statements and were not aware of the errors.

Effect

The financial statements and notes regarding special assessments payable would have been misstated by \$3,990,813. In addition, stakeholders of the report would not have accurate information relating to special assessments payable for this entity.

Recommendation

We recommend that the West Fargo Park District keep adequate records of their special assessment payable balances for reporting purposes. We further recommend the West Fargo Park District to review the special assessments payable balances periodically to ensure the balances are appropriate and accurate at any period of time.

West Fargo Park District's Response

We agree and going forward will periodically review the corresponding special assessments payable balances.



Local Government Division FARGO OFFICE MANAGER – CRAIG HASHBARGER Phone (701)239-7250

STATE OF NORTH DAKOTA OFFICE OF THE STATE AUDITOR FARGO OFFICE BRANCH 1655 43RD STREET SOUTH, SUITE 203 FARGO, NORTH DAKOTA 58103

GOVERNANCE COMMUNICATION

Park District Board West Fargo Park District West Fargo, North Dakota

We have audited the financial statements of the governmental activities, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of the West Fargo Park District, West Fargo, North Dakota, for the year ended December 31, 2017, which collectively comprise the Park District's basic financial statements and have issued our report thereon dated March 5, 2019. Professional standards require that we provide you with the following information related to our audit.

Our Responsibility Under Auditing Standards Generally Accepted in The United States of America, Government Auditing Standards and by the Uniform Guidance

As stated in our engagement letter dated December 3, 2018, our responsibility, as described by professional standards, is to plan and perform our audit to obtain reasonable, but not absolute, assurance about whether the basic financial statements are free of material misstatement. Because of the concept of reasonable assurance and because we did not perform a detailed examination of all transactions, there is a risk that material errors, or fraud may exist and not be detected by us.

In planning and performing our audit, we considered the West Fargo Park District's internal control over financial reporting in order to determine our auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinion on the basic financial statements and not to provide an opinion on internal control over financial reporting.

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the West Fargo Park District's financial statements are free of material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements and other matters, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit.

Significant Accounting Policies/Qualitative Aspects of Accounting Practices

Management is responsible for the selection and use of appropriate accounting policies. In accordance with the terms of our engagement letter, we will advise management about the appropriateness of accounting policies and their application. The significant accounting policies used by the West Fargo Park District are described in Note 1 to the financial statements. Application of existing policies was not changed during the year ended December 31, 2017. Application of existing policies was not changed during the year ended no transactions entered into by the governmental unit during the year for which there is a lack of authoritative guidance or consensus. There are no significant transactions that have been recognized in the financial statements in a different period than when the transaction occurred.

Accounting estimates are an integral part of the financial statements presented by management and are based on management's knowledge and experience about past and current events and assumptions about future events. Certain accounting estimates are particularly sensitive because of their significance to the financial statements and because of the possibility that future events affecting them may differ significantly from those expected. The most sensitive estimate affecting the financial statements is useful lives of capital assets.

Corrected and Uncorrected Misstatements

Professional standards require us to accumulate all known and likely misstatements identified during the audit, other than those that are trivial, and report them to the appropriate level of management. Management has corrected all such misstatements. The schedules below list all misstatements detected as a result of audit procedures that were corrected by management.

Client Provided Adjustments

| Intergovernmental Receivables Accounts Receivable Revenue | 172,274 58,937 | 231,211 |
|---|-------------------|---------|
| Expenditures Accounts Payable | 20,850 | 20,850 |

Audit Adjustments

| Due From County | 7,845 | |
|------------------|--------|--------|
| Revenue | | 7,845 |
| | | |
| Expenditures | 64,710 | |
| Salaries Payable | | 64,710 |

Disagreements with Management

For purposes of this letter, professional standards define a disagreement with management as a matter, whether or not resolved to our satisfaction, concerning a financial accounting, or reporting matter that could be significant to the financial statements or the auditor's report. We are pleased to report that no such disagreements arose during the course of our audit.

Management Representations

We have requested certain representations from management that are included in the management representation letter dated March 5, 2019.

Management Consultations with Other Independent Accountants

In some cases, management may decide to consult with other accountants about auditing and accounting matters, similar to obtaining a "second opinion" on certain situations. If a consultation involves application of an accounting principle to the Park District's financial statements or a determination of the type of auditor's opinion that may be expressed on those statements, our professional standards require the consulting accountant to check with us to determine that the consultant has all the relevant facts. To our knowledge, there were no such consultations with other accountants.

Difficulties Encountered in Performing the Audit

We encountered no significant difficulties in dealing with management in performing and completing our audit.

Other Audit Findings or Issues

We generally discuss a variety of matters, including the application of accounting principles and auditing standards, with management each year prior to retention as the governmental unit's auditors. However, these discussions occurred in the normal course of our professional relationship and our responses were not a condition to our retention.

* * * * * * * * * *

FRAUD RISK ASSESSMENT

The West Fargo Park District does not currently prepare a fraud risk assessment of the entire entity. If the Park District does not prepare an adequate fraud risk assessment, there is an increased risk of fraudulent financial reporting, asset misappropriation, and corruption.

Fraud risk governance is a key component of entity-wide governance and the internal control environment according to the COSO framework principles. This entity-wide governance addresses the manner in which the board of directors and management meet their respective obligations to achieve the park district's goals in reporting, reliance, and accountability.

We recommend the West Fargo Park District prepare a fraud risk assessment in order to identify areas of concern within the entity to appropriately mitigate the risk of fraudulent financial reporting, misappropriation of assets, and corruption.

* * * * * * * * *

This information is intended solely for the use of the governing board and management of the West Fargo Park District, and is not intended to be, and should not be, used for any other purpose. We would be happy to meet with you and any member of your staff to discuss any of the items in this letter in more detail if you so desire.

Thank you to the employees of the West Fargo Park District for the courteous and friendly assistance we received during our audit. It is a pleasure for us to be able to serve the West Fargo Park District.

/s/

Joshua C. Gallion State Auditor

Fargo, North Dakota March 5, 2019

You may obtain audit reports on the internet at:

www.nd.gov/auditor/

or by contacting the Division of Local Government Audit

Office of the State Auditor 600 East Boulevard Avenue – Department 117 Bismarck, ND 58505-0060

(701) 328-2220