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Independent Auditor’s Report

Honorable Jack Dalrymple, Governor
Members of the Legislative Assembly
Members of the North Dakota Dry Pea and Lentil Council
Mr. Kevin Haas, Chairman, North Dakota Dry Pea and Lentil Council

Report on the Financial Statement

We have audited the accompanying Comparative Statement of Revenues and Expenditures of the North Dakota Dry Pea and Lentil Council of the state of North Dakota, for the years ended June 30, 2016 and 2015, and the related notes as listed in the table of contents.

Management’s Responsibility for the Financial Statement

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of this financial statement in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America; this includes the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of the financial statement that is free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

Auditor’s Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the financial statement based on our audit. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in Government Auditing Standards, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statement is free from material misstatement.

An audit involves performing procedures to obtain audit evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the financial statement. The procedures selected depend on the auditor’s judgment, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the financial statement, whether due to fraud or error. In making those risk assessments, the auditor considers internal control relevant to the entity’s preparation and fair presentation of the financial statement in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity’s internal control. Accordingly, we
express no such opinion. An audit also includes evaluating the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the financial statement.

We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinion.

**Opinion**

In our opinion, the financial statement referred to above presents fairly, in all material respects, the revenues and expenditures of the North Dakota Dry Pea and Lentil Council, for the years ended June 30, 2016 and 2015, in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

**Emphasis of Matters**

As discussed in Note 1, the financial statement presents only the North Dakota Dry Pea and Lentil Council’s revenues and expenditures, and does not purport to, and does not present fairly the financial position of the state of North Dakota as of June 30, 2016 or 2015, for the years then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Our opinion is not modified with respect to this matter.

As discussed in Note 1, the financial statement is presented and audited in accordance with North Dakota Century Code 4-24-10. This financial statement is not intended to be a complete presentation of the North Dakota Dry Pea and Lentil Council’s assets and liabilities. Our opinion is not modified with respect to this matter.

**Other Reporting Required by Government Auditing Standards**

In accordance with Government Auditing Standards, we have also issued our report dated December 15, 2016 on our consideration of the North Dakota Dry Pea and Lentil Council’s internal control over financial reporting and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements and other matters. The purpose of that report is to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on internal control over financial reporting or on compliance. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with Government Auditing Standards in considering the North Dakota Dry Pea and Lentil Council’s internal control over financial reporting and compliance.

Robert R. Peterson
State Auditor
Bismarck, North Dakota
December 15, 2016
Independent Auditor’s Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of a Financial Statement Performed in Accordance with Government Auditing Standards

Honorable Jack Dalrymple, Governor
Members of the Legislative Assembly
Members of the North Dakota Dry Pea and Lentil Council
Mr. Kevin Haas, Chairman, North Dakota Dry Pea and Lentil Council

We have audited, in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in Government Auditing Standards issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the financial statement of the North Dakota Dry Pea and Lentil Council, for the years ended June 30, 2016 and 215, and the related notes to the financial statement and have issued our report thereon dated December 15, 2016.

Internal Control over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit of the financial statement, we considered North Dakota Dry Pea and Lentil Council’s internal control over financial reporting (internal control) to determine the audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinion on the financial statement, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of North Dakota Dry Pea and Lentil Council’s internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of North Dakota Dry Pea and Lentil Council’s internal control.

A deficiency in internal control exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, misstatements on a timely basis. A material weakness is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the entity’s financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis. A significant deficiency is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.
Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies and therefore, material weaknesses or significant deficiencies may exist that were not identified. Given these limitations, during our audit we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.

**Compliance and Other Matters**

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the North Dakota Dry Pea and Lentil Council’s financial statement is free of material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

**Purpose of this Report**

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity’s internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the entity’s internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

Robert R. Peterson  
State Auditor  
Bismarck, North Dakota  
December 15, 2016
### Comparative Statement of Revenues and Expenditures

**North Dakota Dry Pea and Lentil Council**

For the Years Ended June 30, 2016 and 2015

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>June 30, 2016</th>
<th>June 30, 2015</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Revenues:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assessments (net of refunds of $82,858 and $70,838)</td>
<td>$ 1,568,032</td>
<td>$ 1,118,352</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interest on Investments</td>
<td>3,311</td>
<td>3,388</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miscellaneous Revenue</td>
<td>32,785</td>
<td>13,677</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Revenues</strong></td>
<td>$ 1,604,128</td>
<td>$ 1,135,417</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Expenditures:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grants to Associations</td>
<td>$ 1,050,000</td>
<td>$ 1,225,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Legal/Audit Services</td>
<td>8,826</td>
<td>6,837</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Travel</td>
<td>3,159</td>
<td>4,356</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operating Fees</td>
<td>1,565</td>
<td>1,653</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salaries &amp; Benefits</td>
<td>1,412</td>
<td>4,973</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postage</td>
<td>908</td>
<td>763</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Printing</td>
<td>503</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IT Contractual Services</td>
<td>240</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Office Supplies</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Expenditures</strong></td>
<td>$ 1,066,661</td>
<td>$ 1,243,620</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Revenues Over/(Under) Expenditures</strong></td>
<td><strong>$ 537,467</strong></td>
<td><strong>$(108,203)</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

See Notes to the Comparative Statement of Revenues and Expenditures.
Notes to the Comparative Statement of Revenues and Expenditures

NOTE 1 - SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

The responsibility for this comparative statement of revenues and expenditures, the internal control structure, and compliance with laws and regulations belongs to management of the North Dakota Dry Pea and Lentil Council. A summary of the significant accounting policies follows:

A. Reporting Entity

For financial reporting purposes, the North Dakota Dry Pea and Lentil Council includes all funds, programs, and activities over which it is financially accountable. The North Dakota Dry Pea and Lentil Council does not have any component units as defined by the Government Accounting Standards Board. The North Dakota Dry Pea and Lentil Council is part of the state of North Dakota as a reporting entity.

The North Dakota Dry Pea and Lentil Council was established by North Dakota Century Code (NDCC), chapter 4.1-07, and is responsible for funding of research, education programs, and market development efforts. The North Dakota Dry Pea and Lentil Council may participate in programs under the auspices of the United States Dry Pea and Lentil Council. In addition, the North Dakota Dry Pea and Lentil Council shall develop and disseminate information regarding the purpose of the dry pea and lentil assessment and ways in which the assessment benefits dry pea and lentil producers. The North Dakota Dry Pea and Lentil Council receives its funding through an assessment of 1% of the net value of dry peas and lentils grown in the state or sold to a first purchaser. The North Dakota Dry Pea and Lentil Council operates on a continuing appropriation and received no funding form the State Legislature.

B. Reporting Structure

The comparative statement of revenues and expenditures includes all activities of the reporting entity as defined above. These activities are funded from the North Dakota Dry Pea and Lentil Council’s operating fund.

C. Basis of Presentation

North Dakota Century Code 4-24-10 requires certain commodity promotion groups to prepare a report for the legislative assembly. As part of this report the applicable commodity groups are required to prepare a single-page uniform statement of revenues and expenditures.

Revenues and expenditures on the comparative statement of revenues and expenditures are reported on the modified accrual basis of accounting, which is generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP) for governmental fund types.

Under the modified accrual basis of accounting, revenues are recognized when susceptible to accrual (i.e. measurable and available). Measurable means the amount can be determined, available means due and collectible within the current period or soon enough thereafter to be used to pay liabilities of the current period. Revenues are considered available if they are collected within a year after fiscal year end. Expenditures are recorded when goods or services are received. Exceptions include: principal and interest expenditures, which are recorded when due; and compensated absences, which are recorded when paid.
D. **GAAP Reporting Differences**

GAAP financial statements would include a balance sheet. GAAP financial statements would also provide additional note disclosures.

**NOTE 2 – RELATED PARTIES**

The North Dakota Dry Pea and Lentil Council contracts with the Northern Pulse Growers Association and the USA Dry Pea and Lentil Council to implement and administer programs intended to contribute to the betterment of the North Dakota dry pea and lentil industry. Such programs include, but are not limited to: research, education, publicity, promotion, and transportation. The Northern Pulse Growers Association also provides the North Dakota Dry Pea and Lentil Council with administrative, financial, and reporting services. Payments under the contracts with Northern Pulse Growers Association and with USA Dry Pea and Lentil Council for the two-year period ended June 30, 2016 were $1,500,000 and $750,000, respectively.

**NOTE 3 – OTHER SIGNIFICANT ITEMS**

The North Dakota Dry Pea and Lentil Council had cash and investment reserves of $1,414,542 as of June 30, 2016. Based on the average monthly expenditures for fiscal year 2016, this amount represents approximately 16 months of expenditures.
Supplementary Information
Responses to LAFRC Audit Questions

The Legislative Audit and Fiscal Review Committee (LAFRC) requests that certain items be addressed by auditors performing audits of state agencies.

1. What type of opinion was issued on the special-purpose financial statement?
   Unmodified.

2. Was there compliance with statutes, laws, rules, and regulations under which the agency was created and is functioning?
   Yes.

3. Was internal control adequate and functioning effectively?
   Yes.

4. Were there any indications of lack of efficiency in financial operations and management of the agency?
   No.

5. Has action been taken on findings and recommendations included in prior audit reports?
   There were no recommendations in the prior audit report.

6. Was a management letter issued? If so, provide a summary below, including any recommendations and the management responses.
   No.
LAFRC Audit Communications

1. *Identify any significant changes in accounting policies, any management conflicts of interest, any contingent liabilities, or any significant unusual transactions.*

   None noted.

2. *Identify any significant accounting estimates, the process used by management to formulate the accounting estimates, and the basis for the auditor's conclusions regarding the reasonableness of those estimates.*

   None noted.

3. *Identify any significant audit adjustments.*

   None.

4. *Identify any disagreements with management, whether or not resolved to the auditor's satisfaction relating to a financial accounting, reporting, or auditing matter that could be significant to the special-purpose financial statement.*

   None.

5. *Identify any serious difficulties encountered in performing the audit.*

   None.

6. *Identify any major issues discussed with management prior to retention.*

   This is not applicable for audits conducted by the Office of the State Auditor.

7. *Identify any management consultations with other accountants about auditing and accounting matters.*

   None.

8. *Identify any high-risk information technology systems critical to operations based on the auditor's overall assessment of the importance of the system to the agency and its mission, or whether any exceptions identified in the six audit report questions to be addressed by the auditors are directly related to the operations of an information technology system.*

   ConnectND Finance is the most high-risk information technology system critical to the North Dakota Dry Pea and Lentil Council.
Governance Communication

December 15, 2016

To: The North Dakota Dry Pea and Lentil Council

The Legislative Audit and Fiscal Review Committee

We have audited the financial statement of the North Dakota Dry Pea and Lentil Council for the years ended June 30, 2016 and 2015, and have issued our report thereon dated December 15, 2016. Professional standards require that we provide you with information about our responsibilities under generally accepted auditing standards and Government Auditing Standards, as well as certain information related to the planned scope and timing of our audit. We have communicated such information in our letter to you dated August 26, 2016. Professional standards also require that we communicate to you the following information related to our audit.

Qualitative Aspects of Accounting Practices

Management is responsible for the selection and use of appropriate accounting policies. The significant accounting policies used by the North Dakota Dry Pea and Lentil Council are described in Note 1 to the financial statement. No new accounting policies were adopted and the application of existing policies was not changed during the two-year period. We noted no transactions entered into by the governmental unit during the year for which there is a lack of authoritative guidance or consensus. There are no significant transactions that have been recognized in the financial statements in a different period than when the transaction occurred.

Difficulties Encountered in Performing the Audit

We encountered no significant difficulties in dealing with management in performing and completing our audit.

Corrected and Uncorrected Misstatements

Professional standards require us to accumulate all known and likely misstatements identified during the audit, other than those that are trivial, and communicate them to the appropriate level of management. None of the misstatements detected as a result of audit procedures and corrected by management were material, either individually or in the aggregate, to the financial statement taken as a whole.

Disagreements with Management

For purposes of this letter, professional standards define a disagreement with management as a financial accounting, reporting, or auditing matter, whether or not resolved to our satisfaction, that could be significant to the financial statement or the auditor’s report. We are pleased to report that no such disagreements arose during the course of our audit.
Management Representations

We have requested certain representations from management that are included in the management representation letter dated December 15, 2016.

Management Consultations with Other Independent Accountants

In some cases, management may decide to consult with other accountants about auditing and accounting matters, similar to obtaining a "second opinion" on certain situations. If a consultation involves application of an accounting principle to the governmental unit’s financial statements or a determination of the type of auditor’s opinion that may be expressed on those statements, our professional standards require the consulting accountant to check with us to determine that the consultant has all the relevant facts. To our knowledge, there were no such consultations with other accountants.

Other Audit Findings or Issues

We generally discuss a variety of matters, including the application of accounting principles and auditing standards, with management each two-year period prior to retention, as the governmental unit’s auditors. However, these discussions occurred in the normal course of our professional relationship and our responses were not a condition to our retention.

This information is intended solely for the use of the North Dakota Dry Pea and Lentil Council, management of the North Dakota Dry Pea and Lentil Council, and the Legislative Audit and Fiscal Review Committee, and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

Very truly yours,

Megan Reis
Auditor In-Charge
You may obtain audit reports on the internet at:

www.nd.gov/auditor/

or by contacting the
Division of State Audit

Office of the State Auditor
600 East Boulevard Avenue – Department 117
Bismarck, ND  58505-0060

(701) 328-2241