

North Dakota Part C FFY 2010 SPP/APR Response Table

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
<p>The IDEA Part C regulations cited in this APR Response Table as 34 CFR §303.xxx are those regulations which were in effect during FFY 2010. If the State has chosen to implement any of the new regulations published in 76 Federal Register 60140 (September 28, 2011) prior to the required implementation date of July 1, 2012 for a regulation that impacts the measurements for an SPP/ APR indicator, the State must so indicate in its FFY 2011 APR, due February 1, 2013.</p>		
<p>1. Percent of infants and toddlers with IFSPs who receive the early intervention services on their IFSPs in a timely manner. [Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State revised the improvement activities for FFY 2010 for this indicator and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>The State's FFY 2010 reported data for this indicator are 85%. These data represent slippage from the FFY 2009 data of 92.16%. The State did not meet its FFY 2010 target of 100%.</p> <p>Although the State reported less than 100% compliance for this indicator for FFY 2009, the State reported that it did not identify any findings of noncompliance in FFY 2009 for this indicator. The State reported that it issued nine findings for this indicator in FFY 2011, based on FFY 2008, FFY 2009 and FFY 2010 data.</p>	<p>The State must demonstrate, in the FFY 2011 APR, that the State is in compliance with the timely service provision requirements in 34 CFR §§303.340(c), 303.342(e), and 303.344(f)(1). Because the State reported less than 100% compliance for FFY 2010, the State must report on the status of correction of noncompliance identified in FFY 2010 for this indicator.</p> <p>The State must also provide updated correction data in the FFY 2011 APR, on the nine uncorrected noncompliance findings identified in FFY 2011 based on FFY 2008, FFY 2009, and FFY 2010 data.</p> <p>When reporting on the correction of noncompliance, the State must report, in its FFY 2011 APR, that it has verified that each EIS program with noncompliance identified in FFY 2010 and identified in FFY 2011, based on FFY 2008, FFY 2009, and FFY 2010 data: (1) is correctly implementing 34 CFR §§303.340(c), 303.342(e), and 303.344(f)(1) (i.e., achieved 100% compliance) based on a review of updated data such as data subsequently collected</p>

North Dakota Part C FFY 2010 SPP/APR Response Table

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
		<p>through on-site monitoring or a State data system; and (2) has initiated services, although late, for any child whose services were not initiated in a timely manner, unless the child is no longer within the jurisdiction of the EIS program, consistent with OSEP Memorandum 09-02, dated October 17, 2008 (OSEP Memo 09-02). In the FFY 2011 APR, the State must describe the specific actions that were taken to verify the correction.</p> <p>If the State does not report 100% compliance in the FFY 2011 APR, the State must review its improvement activities and revise them, if necessary to ensure compliance.</p>
<p>2. Percent of infants and toddlers with IFSPs who primarily receive early intervention services in the home or community-based settings. [Results Indicator]</p>	<p>The State revised the improvement activities for FFY 2010 for this indicator and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>The State's FFY 2010 reported data for this indicator are 99.25%. The State's data reflect a high level of performance for this indicator. The State met its FFY 2010 target of 97%.</p> <p>OSEP's FFY 2009 SPP/APR Response Table, dated June 20, 2011, required the State to include in the FFY 2010 APR, due February 1, 2012 a revised SPP that includes stakeholder input on revised targets. The State provided all of the required information.</p>	<p>The State's FFY 2010 data for provision of services to infants and toddlers in natural environments are at or greater than 95%. There is no expectation that an increase in that percentage is necessary. OSEP appreciates the State's efforts to improve performance and assumes that the State is monitoring to ensure that IFSP teams are making service setting decisions on an individualized basis and in compliance with 34 CFR §§303.12, 303.18, and 303.344(d)(1)(ii).</p>
<p>3. Percent of infants and toddlers with IFSPs who demonstrate</p>	<p>The State revised the improvement activities for FFY 2010 for this indicator and OSEP</p>	<p>OSEP looks forward to the State's data demonstrating</p>

North Dakota Part C FFY 2010 SPP/APR Response Table

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps																																
<p>improved:</p> <p>A. Positive social-emotional skills (including social relationship);</p> <p>B. Acquisition and use of knowledge and skills (including early language/communication); and</p> <p>C. Use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs.</p> <p>[Results Indicator]</p>	<p>accepts those revisions.</p> <p>The State's reported data for this indicator are:</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="548 266 1583 1281"> <thead> <tr> <th><u>Summary Statement 1</u></th> <th><u>FFY 2009 Data</u></th> <th><u>FFY 2010 Data</u></th> <th><u>FFY 2010 Target</u></th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Outcome A: Positive social-emotional skills (including social relationships) (%)</td> <td align="center">34.5</td> <td align="center">31.2</td> <td align="center">34.5</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Outcome B: Acquisition and use of knowledge and skills (including early language/ communication) (%)</td> <td align="center">42.3</td> <td align="center">41.6</td> <td align="center">48.5</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Outcome C: Use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs (%)</td> <td align="center">56.7</td> <td align="center">55</td> <td align="center">65.5</td> </tr> <tr> <th><u>Summary Statement 2</u></th> <th><u>FFY 2009 Data</u></th> <th><u>FFY 2010 Data</u></th> <th><u>FFY 2010 Target</u></th> </tr> <tr> <td>Outcome A: Positive social-emotional skills (including social relationships) (%)</td> <td align="center">55.2</td> <td align="center">34.1</td> <td align="center">61.5</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Outcome B: Acquisition and use of knowledge and skills (including early language/ communication) (%)</td> <td align="center">46.0</td> <td align="center">32.5</td> <td align="center">53</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Outcome C: Use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs (%)</td> <td align="center">66.5</td> <td align="center">55.3</td> <td align="center">82</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>These data represent slippage from the FFY 2009 data. The State did not meet its FFY 2010 targets for this indicator.</p> <p>The State did not provide a list of the instruments and procedures used to gather data for this indicator.</p> <p>OSEP's FFY 2009 SPP/APR Response Table, dated June 20, 2011, required the State to</p>	<u>Summary Statement 1</u>	<u>FFY 2009 Data</u>	<u>FFY 2010 Data</u>	<u>FFY 2010 Target</u>	Outcome A: Positive social-emotional skills (including social relationships) (%)	34.5	31.2	34.5	Outcome B: Acquisition and use of knowledge and skills (including early language/ communication) (%)	42.3	41.6	48.5	Outcome C: Use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs (%)	56.7	55	65.5	<u>Summary Statement 2</u>	<u>FFY 2009 Data</u>	<u>FFY 2010 Data</u>	<u>FFY 2010 Target</u>	Outcome A: Positive social-emotional skills (including social relationships) (%)	55.2	34.1	61.5	Outcome B: Acquisition and use of knowledge and skills (including early language/ communication) (%)	46.0	32.5	53	Outcome C: Use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs (%)	66.5	55.3	82	<p>improvement in performance in the FFY 2011 APR</p> <p>The State must report progress data and actual target data for FFY 2011 in the FFY 2011 APR.</p> <p>In the FFY 2011 APR, the State must provide a list of instruments and procedures used to gather data for this indicator, including if the State is using the ECO COSF.</p>
<u>Summary Statement 1</u>	<u>FFY 2009 Data</u>	<u>FFY 2010 Data</u>	<u>FFY 2010 Target</u>																															
Outcome A: Positive social-emotional skills (including social relationships) (%)	34.5	31.2	34.5																															
Outcome B: Acquisition and use of knowledge and skills (including early language/ communication) (%)	42.3	41.6	48.5																															
Outcome C: Use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs (%)	56.7	55	65.5																															
<u>Summary Statement 2</u>	<u>FFY 2009 Data</u>	<u>FFY 2010 Data</u>	<u>FFY 2010 Target</u>																															
Outcome A: Positive social-emotional skills (including social relationships) (%)	55.2	34.1	61.5																															
Outcome B: Acquisition and use of knowledge and skills (including early language/ communication) (%)	46.0	32.5	53																															
Outcome C: Use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs (%)	66.5	55.3	82																															

North Dakota Part C FFY 2010 SPP/APR Response Table

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps																				
	include in the FFY 2010 APR, due February 1, 2012 a revised SPP that includes stakeholder input on revised targets. The State provided all of the required information.																					
<p>4. Percent of families participating in Part C who report that early intervention services have helped the family:</p> <p>A. Know their rights; B. Effectively communicate their children’s needs; and C. Help their children develop and learn.</p> <p>[Results Indicator]</p>	<p>The State revised the improvement activities for FFY 2010 for this indicator and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>The State’s reported data for this indicator are:</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="550 402 1581 776"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th><u>FFY 2009 Data</u></th> <th><u>FFY 2010 Data</u></th> <th><u>FFY 2010 Target</u></th> <th><u>Progress</u></th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>A. Know their rights (%)</td> <td align="center">90</td> <td align="center">90</td> <td align="center">87</td> <td align="center">0.00%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>B. Effectively communicate their children’s needs (%)</td> <td align="center">91</td> <td align="center">92</td> <td align="center">91</td> <td align="center">1.00%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>C. Help their children develop and learn (%)</td> <td align="center">92</td> <td align="center">92</td> <td align="center">89</td> <td align="center">0.00%</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>These data represent progress for 4B and remain unchanged for 4A and 4C from the FFY 2009 data. The State met all of its FFY 2010 targets for this indicator.</p> <p>OSEP’s FFY 2009 SPP/APR Response Table, dated June 20, 2011, required the State to include in the FFY 2010 APR, due February 1, 2012 a revised SPP that includes stakeholder input on revised targets. The State was also required to include in the FFY 2010 APR whether its FFY 2010 data are based on a response group that is representative of the population. The State provided all of the required information.</p>		<u>FFY 2009 Data</u>	<u>FFY 2010 Data</u>	<u>FFY 2010 Target</u>	<u>Progress</u>	A. Know their rights (%)	90	90	87	0.00%	B. Effectively communicate their children’s needs (%)	91	92	91	1.00%	C. Help their children develop and learn (%)	92	92	89	0.00%	<p>OSEP appreciates the State’s efforts to improve performance.</p>
	<u>FFY 2009 Data</u>	<u>FFY 2010 Data</u>	<u>FFY 2010 Target</u>	<u>Progress</u>																		
A. Know their rights (%)	90	90	87	0.00%																		
B. Effectively communicate their children’s needs (%)	91	92	91	1.00%																		
C. Help their children develop and learn (%)	92	92	89	0.00%																		
<p>5. Percent of infants and toddlers birth to 1 with IFSPs compared to national data.</p> <p>[Results Indicator]</p>	<p>The State revised the improvement activities for FFY 2010 for this indicator and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>The State’s FFY 2010 reported data for this indicator are 2.14%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2009 data of 1.95%. The State met its FFY 2010 target of 1.90%.</p> <p>OSEP’s FFY 2009 SPP/APR Response Table, dated June 20, 2011, required the State to include in the FFY 2010 APR, due February 1, 2012, a revised SPP that includes stakeholder input on revised targets. The State provided all of the required information.</p>	<p>OSEP appreciates the State’s efforts to improve performance.</p>																				
<p>6. Percent of infants and toddlers birth to 3 with IFSPs compared to</p>	<p>The State revised the improvement activities for FFY 2010 for this indicator and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p>	<p>OSEP appreciates the State’s efforts to improve performance.</p>																				

North Dakota Part C FFY 2010 SPP/APR Response Table

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
<p>national data. [Results Indicator]</p>	<p>The State's FFY 2010 reported data for this indicator are 3.44%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2009 data of 3.39%. The State met its FFY 2010 target of 3.25%.</p> <p>OSEP's FFY 2009 SPP/APR Response Table, dated June 20, 2011, required the State to include in the FFY 2010 APR, due February 1, 2012 a revised SPP that includes stakeholder input on revised targets. The State provided all of the required information.</p>	
<p>7. Percent of eligible infants and toddlers with IFSPs for whom an evaluation and assessment and an initial IFSP meeting were conducted within Part C's 45-day timeline. [Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State revised the improvement activities for FFY 2010 for this indicator and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>The State's FFY 2010 reported data for this indicator are 87.3%. The State's FFY 2009 data for this indicator were 87.7%. The State did not meet its FFY 2010 target of 100%.</p> <p>Although the State reported less than 100% compliance for this indicator for FFY 2009, the State reported that it did not identify any findings of noncompliance in FFY 2009 for this indicator. The State reported that it issued 10 findings for this indicator in FFY 2011, based on FFY 2008, FFY 2009 and FFY 2010 data.</p>	<p>The State must demonstrate, in the FFY 2011 APR, that the State is in compliance with the 45-day timeline requirements in 34 CFR §§303.321(e)(2), 303.322(e)(1), and 303.342(a). Because the State reported less than 100% compliance for FFY 2010, the State must report on the status of correction of noncompliance identified in FFY 2010 for this indicator.</p> <p>The State must also provide updated correction data in the FFY 2011 APR, on the 10 uncorrected noncompliance findings identified in FFY 2011 based on FFY 2008, FFY 2009, and FFY 2010 data.</p> <p>When reporting on the correction of noncompliance, the State must report, in its FFY 2011 APR, that it has verified that each EIS program with noncompliance identified in FFY 2010 and identified in FFY 2011, based on FFY 2008, FFY 2009, and FFY 2010 data: (1) is correctly implementing 34 CFR §§303.321(e)(2), 303.322(e)(1), and 303.342(a) (i.e., achieved 100% compliance) based on a</p>

North Dakota Part C FFY 2010 SPP/APR Response Table

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
		<p>review of updated data such as data subsequently collected through on-site monitoring or a State data system; and (2) has conducted the initial evaluation, assessment, and IFSP meeting, although late, for any child for whom the 45-day timeline was not met, unless the child is no longer within the jurisdiction of the EIS program, consistent with OSEP Memo 09-02. In the FFY 2011 APR, the State must describe the specific actions that were taken to verify the correction.</p> <p>If the State does not report 100% compliance in the FFY 2011 APR, the State must review its improvement activities and revise them, if necessary to ensure compliance.</p>
<p>8. Percent of all children exiting Part C who received timely transition planning to support the child’s transition to preschool and other appropriate community services by their third birthday including:</p> <p>A. IFSPs with transition steps and services;</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State revised the improvement activities for FFY 2010 for this indicator and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>The State’s FFY 2010 reported data for this indicator are 89.2%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2009 data of 50%. The State did not meet its FFY 2010 target of 100%.</p> <p>Although the State reported less than 100% compliance for this indicator for FFY 2009, the State reported that it did not identify any findings of noncompliance in FFY 2009 for this indicator. The State reported that it issued five findings for this indicator in FFY 2011, based on FFY 2008, FFY 2009 and FFY 2010 data.</p>	<p>The State must demonstrate, in the FFY 2011 APR, that the State is in compliance with the IFSP transition content requirements in 34 CFR §§303.148(b)(4) and 303.344(h) and 20 U.S.C. 1436(a)(3) and (d)(8). Because the State reported less than 100% compliance for FFY 2010, the State must report on the status of correction of noncompliance identified in FFY 2010 for this indicator.</p> <p>The State must also demonstrate, in the FFY 2011 APR, updated correction data on the five</p>

North Dakota Part C FFY 2010 SPP/APR Response Table

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
		<p>uncorrected noncompliance findings identified in FFY 2011 based on FFY 2008, FFY 2009 and FFY 2010.</p> <p>When reporting on the correction of noncompliance, the State must report, in its FFY 2011 APR, that it has verified that each EIS program with noncompliance identified in FFY 2010 and identified in FFY 2011, based on FFY 2008, FFY 2009, and FFY 2010 data: (1) is correctly implementing 34 CFR §§303.148(b)(4) and 303.344(h) and 20 U.S.C. 1436(a)(3) and (d)(8) (i.e., achieved 100% compliance) based on a review of updated data such as data subsequently collected through on-site monitoring or a State data system; and (2) has developed an IFSP with transition steps and services for each child, unless the child is no longer within the jurisdiction of the EIS program (i.e., the child has exited the State's Part C program due to age or other reasons), consistent with OSEP Memo 09-02. In the FFY 2011 APR, the State must describe the specific actions that were taken to verify the correction.</p> <p>If the State does not report 100% compliance in the FFY 2011 APR, the State must review its improvement activities and revise them, if necessary to ensure</p>

North Dakota Part C FFY 2010 SPP/APR Response Table

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
<p>8. Percent of all children exiting Part C who received timely transition planning to support the child's transition to preschool and other appropriate community services by their third birthday including:</p> <p>B. Notification to LEA, if child potentially eligible for Part B; and</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State revised the improvement activities for FFY 2010 for this indicator and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>The State's FFY 2010 reported data for this indicator are 81%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2009 data of 62.2%. The State did not meet its FFY 2010 target of 100%.</p> <p>Although the State reported less than 100% compliance for this indicator for FFY 2009, the State reported that it did not identify any findings of noncompliance in FFY 2009 for this indicator. The State reported that it issued 10 findings for this indicator in FFY 2011, based on FFY 2008, FFY 2009 and FFY 2010 data.</p>	<p>compliance.</p> <p>The State must demonstrate, in the FFY 2011 APR, that the State is in compliance with the LEA notification requirements in 34 CFR §303.148(b)(1). Because the State reported less than 100% compliance for FFY 2010, the State must report on the status of correction of noncompliance identified in FFY 2010 for this indicator.</p> <p>The State must also provide updated correction data, in the FFY 2011 APR, on the 10 uncorrected noncompliance findings identified in FFY 2011 based on FFY 2008, FFY 2009 and FFY 2010 data.</p> <p>When reporting on the correction of noncompliance, the State must report, in its FFY 2011 APR, that it has verified that each EIS program with noncompliance identified in FFY 2010 and identified in FFY 2011, based on FFY 2008, FFY 2009, and FFY 2010 data: (1) is correctly implementing 34 CFR §303.148(b)(1) (i.e., achieved 100% compliance) based on a review of updated data such as data subsequently collected through on-site monitoring or a State data system; and (2) has provided notification to the LEA for each child, unless the child is no longer within the jurisdiction</p>

North Dakota Part C FFY 2010 SPP/APR Response Table

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
		<p>of the EIS program (i.e., the child has exited the State's Part C program due to age or other reasons), consistent with OSEP Memo 09-02. In the FFY 2011 APR, the State must describe the specific actions that were taken to verify the correction.</p> <p>If the State does not report 100% compliance in the FFY 2010 APR, the State must review its improvement activities and revise them, if necessary to ensure compliance.</p>
<p>8. Percent of all children exiting Part C who received timely transition planning to support the child's transition to preschool and other appropriate community services by their third birthday including:</p> <p>C. Transition conference, if child potentially eligible for Part B.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State revised the improvement activities for FFY 2010 for this indicator and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>The State's FFY 2010 reported data for this indicator are 83%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2009 data of 73%. The State did not meet its FFY 2010 target of 100%.</p> <p>Although the State reported less than 100% compliance for this indicator for FFY 2009, the State reported that it did not identify any findings of noncompliance in FFY 2009 for this indicator. The State reported that it issued three findings for this indicator in FFY 2011, based on FFY 2009 and FFY 2010 data.</p>	<p>The State must demonstrate, in the FFY 2011 APR, that the State is in compliance with the timely transition conference requirements in 34 CFR §303.148(b)(2)(i) (as modified by IDEA section 637(a)(9)(A)(ii)(II)). Because the State reported less than 100% compliance for FFY 2010, the State must report on the status of correction of noncompliance identified in FFY 2010 for this indicator.</p> <p>The State must also provide updated correction data in the FFY 2011 APR, on the three uncorrected noncompliance findings identified in FFY 2011 based on FFY 2008, FFY 2009 and FFY 2010 data.</p> <p>When reporting on the correction of noncompliance, the State must report, in its FFY 2011 APR, that</p>

North Dakota Part C FFY 2010 SPP/APR Response Table

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
		<p>it has verified that each EIS program with noncompliance identified in FFY 2010 and identified in FFY 2011, based on FFY 2008, FFY 2009, and FFY 2010 data: (1) is correctly implementing 34 CFR §303.148(b)(2)(i) (as modified by IDEA section 637(a)(9)(A)(ii)(II)) (i.e., achieved 100% compliance) based on a review of updated data such as data subsequently collected through on-site monitoring or a State data system; and (2) has conducted a transition conference, although late, for any child potentially eligible for Part B whose transition conference was not timely, unless the child is no longer within the jurisdiction of the EIS program, consistent with OSEP Memo 09-02. In the FFY 2011 APR, the State must describe the specific actions that were taken to verify the correction.</p> <p>If the State does not report 100% compliance in the FFY 2010 APR, the State must review its improvement activities and revise them, if necessary to ensure compliance.</p>
<p>9. General Supervision system (including monitoring complaints, hearings, etc.) identifies and corrects noncompliance as soon as possible but in no case later than</p>	<p>The State provided no FFY 2010 data for this indicator, which requires the reporting of timely correction in FFY 2010 of findings identified by the State in FFY 2009. The State did not make findings of noncompliance in FFY 2009, even though the other State reported compliance data indicated noncompliance. Because the State did not provide data for this indicator, OSEP was unable to determine whether there was progress or</p>	<p>In the FFY 2011 APR the State is required to submit data on the timely correction of findings identified in FFY 2010.</p>

North Dakota Part C FFY 2010 SPP/APR Response Table

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
<p>one year from identification. [Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>slippage, or whether the State met is target. Although the State acknowledged that “no findings were issued in FFY 2008, FFY 2009, or FFY 2010,” the State did report that it issued findings in FFY 2011 based on FFY 2008, FFY 2009, and FFY 2010 data.</p>	<p>The State must also report, in the FFY 2011 APR, on the status of correction of the findings of noncompliance that the State identified in FFY 2011, based on FFY 2008, 2009, and 2010 data. The State must review its improvement activities and revise them, if necessary to ensure the timely correction of noncompliance.</p> <p>When reporting on correction of findings of noncompliance in the FFY 2011 APR, the State must report that it verified that each EIS program with noncompliance identified in FFY 2010 and identified in FFY 2011, based on FFY 2008, FFY 2009, and FFY 2010 data: (1) is correctly implementing the specific regulatory requirements (i.e., achieved 100% compliance) based on a review of updated data such as data subsequently collected through on-site monitoring or a State data system; and (2) has corrected each individual case of noncompliance, unless the child is no longer within the jurisdiction of the EIS program, consistent with OSEP Memo 09-02. In the FFY 2011 APR, the State must describe the specific actions that were taken to verify the correction. In addition, in reporting on Indicator 9 in the FFY 2011 APR, the State must</p>

North Dakota Part C FFY 2010 SPP/APR Response Table

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
		<p>use the Indicator 9 Worksheet.</p> <p>Further, in responding to Indicators 1, 7, 8A, 8B, and 8C in the FFY 2011 APR, the State must report on correction of noncompliance described in this table under those indicators.</p>
<p>10. Percent of signed written complaints with reports issued that were resolved within 60-day timeline or a timeline extended for exceptional circumstances with respect to a particular complaint.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State revised the improvement activities for FFY 2010 for this indicator and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>The State reported, as of January 31, 2012, that it did not receive any signed written complaints during the reporting period.</p> <p>Note that States are allowed to amend their FFY 2010 IDEA section 618 Dispute Resolution data until July 2012.</p>	<p>OSEP looks forward to reviewing the State's data in the FFY 2011 APR.</p>
<p>11. Percent of fully adjudicated due process hearing requests that were fully adjudicated within the applicable timeline.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State revised the improvement activities for FFY 2010 for this indicator and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>The State reported, as of January 31, 2012, that it did not receive any requests for due process hearings during the reporting period.</p> <p>Note that States are allowed to amend their FFY 2010 IDEA section 618 Dispute Resolution data until July 2012.</p>	<p>OSEP looks forward to reviewing the State's data in the FFY 2011 APR.</p>
<p>12. Percent of hearing requests that went to resolution sessions that were resolved through resolution session settlement agreements (applicable if Part B due process procedures are adopted).</p> <p>[Results Indicator]</p>	<p>Not applicable.</p>	<p>Not applicable.</p>
<p>13. Percent of mediations held that resulted in mediation agreements.</p> <p>[Results Indicator]</p>	<p>The State revised the improvement activities for FFY 2010 for this indicator and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>The State reported, as of January 31, 2012, that no mediations were held during the reporting period.</p> <p>The State reported fewer than ten mediations held in FFY 2010. The State is not required to provide targets or improvement activities until any fiscal year in which ten</p>	<p>OSEP looks forward to reviewing the State's data in the FFY 2011 APR.</p>

North Dakota Part C FFY 2010 SPP/APR Response Table

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
	<p>or more mediations were held.</p> <p>Note that States are allowed to amend their FFY 2010 IDEA section 618 Dispute Resolution data until July 2012.</p>	
<p>14. State reported data (618 and State Performance Plan and Annual Performance Report) are timely and accurate.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State's FFY 2010 reported data for this indicator are 97.1%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2009 data of 83%. The State did not meet its FFY 2010 target of 100%.</p>	<p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts and looks forward to reviewing in the FFY 2011 APR, the State's data demonstrating that it is in compliance with the timely and accurate data reporting requirements in IDEA sections 616, 618, and 642 and 34 CFR §§76.720 and 303.540. If the State does not report 100% compliance in the FFY 2011 APR, the State must review its improvement activities and revise them, if necessary to ensure compliance. In reporting on Indicator 14 in the FFY 2009 APR, the State must use the Indicator 14 Data Rubric.</p>